

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549**

---

**FORM 10-Q**

---

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.**

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2012

OR

**OR TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.**

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number: 1-14267

---

**REPUBLIC SERVICES, INC.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

---

**DELAWARE**

(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**18500 NORTH ALLIED WAY  
PHOENIX, ARIZONA**

(Address of principal executive offices)

**65-0716904**

(IRS Employer  
Identification No.)

**85054**

(Zip code)

**Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (480) 627-2700**

---

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/> (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company	<input type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

On October 18, 2012, the registrant had outstanding 364,702,791 shares of Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share (excluding treasury shares of 39,793,579).

REPUBLIC SERVICES, INC.

INDEX

**PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

Item 1.	<a href="#">Financial Statements</a>	<a href="#">3</a>
	<a href="#">Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2012 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2011</a>	<a href="#">3</a>
	<a href="#">Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011</a>	<a href="#">3</a>
	<a href="#">Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011</a>	<a href="#">3</a>
	<a href="#">Unaudited Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012</a>	<a href="#">3</a>
	<a href="#">Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
	<a href="#">Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
Item 2.	<a href="#">Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
Item 3.	<a href="#">Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</a>	<a href="#">28</a>
Item 4.	<a href="#">Controls and Procedures</a>	<a href="#">28</a>

**PART II — OTHER INFORMATION**

Item 1.	<a href="#">Legal Proceedings</a>	<a href="#">30</a>
Item 1A.	<a href="#">Risk Factors</a>	<a href="#">32</a>
Item 2.	<a href="#">Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</a>	<a href="#">32</a>
Item 3.	<a href="#">Defaults upon Senior Securities</a>	<a href="#">32</a>
Item 4.	<a href="#">Mine Safety Disclosures</a>	<a href="#">32</a>
Item 5.	<a href="#">Other Information</a>	<a href="#">32</a>
Item 6.	<a href="#">Exhibits</a>	<a href="#">33</a>
	<a href="#">Signatures</a>	<a href="#">34</a>

**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION**
**ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.**

**REPUBLIC SERVICES, INC.**  
**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**  
(in millions, except per share data)

	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
	(Unaudited)	
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 74.0	\$ 66.3
Accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$44.8 and \$48.1, respectively	854.2	825.8
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	217.9	215.9
Deferred tax assets	123.9	157.7
Total current assets	1,270.0	1,265.7
Restricted cash and marketable securities	132.9	189.6
Property and equipment, net	6,903.2	6,792.3
Goodwill	10,678.2	10,647.0
Other intangible assets, net	371.9	409.6
Other assets	278.1	247.3
Total assets	\$ 19,634.3	\$ 19,551.5
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 490.6	\$ 563.6
Notes payable and current maturities of long-term debt	44.4	34.8
Deferred revenue	311.1	290.2
Accrued landfill and environmental costs, current portion	185.4	184.2
Accrued interest	71.6	72.2
Other accrued liabilities	596.1	752.5
Total current liabilities	1,699.2	1,897.5
Long-term debt, net of current maturities	7,060.0	6,887.0
Accrued landfill and environmental costs, net of current portion	1,419.6	1,396.5
Deferred income taxes and other long-term tax liabilities	1,165.3	1,161.1
Self-insurance reserves, net of current portion	288.1	303.9
Other long-term liabilities	260.5	222.1
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share; 50 shares authorized; none issued	—	—
Common stock, par value \$0.01 per share; 750 shares authorized; 404.4 and 402.1 issued including shares held in treasury, respectively	4.0	4.0
Additional paid-in capital	6,563.3	6,495.6
Retained earnings	2,361.3	2,164.7
Treasury stock, at cost (39.8 and 32.2 shares, respectively)	(1,169.6)	(961.5)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax	(19.8)	(21.5)
Total Republic Services, Inc. stockholders' equity	7,739.2	7,681.3
Noncontrolling interests	2.4	2.1
Total stockholders' equity	7,741.6	7,683.4
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 19,634.3	\$ 19,551.5

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

**REPUBLIC SERVICES, INC.**  
**UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**  
(in millions, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Revenue	\$ 2,046.9	\$ 2,116.2	\$ 6,089.9	\$ 6,167.7
Expenses:				
Cost of operations	1,280.5	1,260.0	3,722.2	3,657.5
Depreciation, amortization and depletion	203.5	215.0	632.1	629.4

Accretion	19.7	19.4	59.1	58.6
Selling, general and administrative	193.8	207.5	613.5	611.5
Negotiation and withdrawal costs - Central States	31.3	—	34.6	—
Loss (gain) on disposition of assets and impairments, net	0.2	5.8	(3.4)	24.8
Operating income	317.9	408.5	1,031.8	1,185.9
Interest expense	(93.2)	(108.3)	(296.3)	(335.4)
Loss on extinguishment of debt	(2.3)	(6.0)	(112.6)	(207.3)
Interest income	0.3	—	0.8	0.3
Other income, net	0.4	1.8	1.1	3.8
Income before income taxes	223.1	296.0	624.8	647.3
Provision for income taxes	70.3	102.4	179.7	249.4
Net income	152.8	193.6	445.1	397.9
Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.3)	0.3
Net income attributable to Republic Services, Inc.	\$ 152.7	\$ 193.5	\$ 444.8	\$ 398.2
Basic earnings per share attributable to Republic Services, Inc. stockholders:				
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.52	\$ 1.21	\$ 1.05
Weighted average common shares outstanding	365.4	373.2	368.1	377.9
Diluted earnings per share attributable to Republic Services, Inc. stockholders:				
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.52	\$ 1.20	\$ 1.05
Weighted average common and common equivalent shares outstanding	366.4	374.7	369.3	379.6
Cash dividends per common share	\$ 0.235	\$ 0.220	\$ 0.675	\$ 0.620

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

**REPUBLIC SERVICES, INC.**  
**UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**  
(in millions)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Net income	\$ 152.8	\$ 193.6	\$ 445.1	\$ 397.9
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax				
Hedging related activity	9.0	(5.2)	2.2	(25.0)
Pension related activity	3.0	(0.8)	(0.5)	0.9
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	12.0	(6.0)	1.7	(24.1)
Comprehensive income	164.8	187.6	446.8	373.8
Comprehensive (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.3)	0.3
Comprehensive income attributable to Republic Services, Inc.	\$ 164.7	\$ 187.5	\$ 446.5	\$ 374.1

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

**REPUBLIC SERVICES, INC.**  
**UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY**  
(in millions)

	Republic Services, Inc. Stockholders' Equity							
	Common Stock		Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Treasury Stock		Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income Net of Tax	Noncontrolling Interests
	Shares	Amount			Shares	Amount		
Balance as of December 31, 2011	402.1	\$ 4.0	\$ 6,495.6	\$ 2,164.7	(32.2)	\$ (961.5)	\$ (21.5)	\$ 2.1
Net income	—	—	—	444.8	—	—	—	0.3
Other comprehensive income	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.7	—
Cash dividends declared	—	—	—	(247.6)	—	—	—	—
Issuances of common stock	2.3	—	50.9	—	—	—	—	—
Stock-based compensation	—	—	16.8	(0.6)	—	—	—	—
Purchase of common stock for treasury	—	—	—	—	(7.6)	(208.1)	—	—
Balance as of September 30, 2012	404.4	\$ 4.0	\$ 6,563.3	\$ 2,361.3	(39.8)	\$ (1,169.6)	\$ (19.8)	\$ 2.4

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

**REPUBLIC SERVICES, INC.**  
**UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
(in millions)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011
<b>Cash provided by operating activities:</b>		
Net income	\$ 445.1	\$ 397.9
<b>Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash provided by operating activities:</b>		
Depreciation and amortization of property and equipment	387.9	384.3
Landfill depletion and amortization	191.3	188.0
Amortization of intangible and other assets	52.9	57.1
Accretion	59.1	58.6
Non-cash interest expense - debt	10.5	20.9
Non-cash interest expense - other	35.7	37.2
Stock-based compensation	17.2	16.4
Deferred tax benefit	(36.0)	(18.9)
Provision for doubtful accounts, net of adjustments	20.8	10.9
Excess income tax benefit from stock option exercises	(1.6)	(2.4)
Asset impairments	0.3	45.9
Loss on extinguishment of debt	112.6	207.3
Gain on disposition of assets, net	(13.6)	(34.8)
Withdrawal liability - Central States	30.7	—
Other non-cash items	—	(6.7)
<b>Change in assets and liabilities, net of effects from business acquisitions and divestitures:</b>		
Accounts receivable	(47.3)	(80.7)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(19.2)	24.5
Accounts payable	(37.2)	6.3
Restructuring and synergy related expenditures	(68.1)	(2.9)
Capping, closure and post-closure expenditures	(54.0)	(73.3)
Remediation expenditures	(49.5)	(26.7)
Other liabilities	19.1	77.9
Cash provided by operating activities	<u>1,056.7</u>	<u>1,286.8</u>
<b>Cash used in investing activities:</b>		
Purchases of property and equipment	(707.4)	(696.1)
Proceeds from sales of property and equipment	24.5	23.4
Cash used in business acquisitions and development projects, net of cash acquired	(73.1)	(40.8)
Cash proceeds from divestitures, net of cash divested	9.6	14.2
Change in restricted cash and marketable securities	54.5	12.3
Other	(0.3)	(2.2)
Cash used in investing activities	<u>(692.2)</u>	<u>(689.2)</u>
<b>Cash used in financing activities:</b>		
Proceeds from notes payable and long-term debt	2,038.2	1,137.5
Proceeds from issuance of senior notes, net of discount	847.6	1,844.9
Payments of notes payable and long-term debt	(2,799.3)	(2,827.6)
Premiums paid on extinguishment of debt	(25.8)	(89.6)
Fees paid to issue and retire senior notes and certain hedging relationships	(16.9)	(58.8)
Issuances of common stock	49.3	35.6
Excess income tax benefit from stock option exercises	1.6	2.4
Purchases of common stock for treasury	(208.1)	(429.9)
Cash dividends paid	(243.4)	(227.8)
Cash used in financing activities	<u>(356.8)</u>	<u>(613.3)</u>
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	7.7	(15.7)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	66.3	88.3
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	<u>\$ 74.0</u>	<u>\$ 72.6</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

**REPUBLIC SERVICES, INC.**  
**NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

### 1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Republic Services, Inc. (a Delaware corporation) and its subsidiaries (also referred to collectively as Republic, we, us, our, or the company) is the second largest provider of non-hazardous solid waste collection, transfer, recycling and disposal services in the United States, as measured by revenue. We manage and evaluate our operations through four geographic regions — Eastern, Midwestern, Southern, and Western, which we have identified as our reportable segments.

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Republic and its wholly owned and majority owned subsidiaries in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP). We account for investments in entities in which we do not have a controlling financial interest under either the equity method or cost method of accounting, as appropriate. All material intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

We have prepared these unaudited consolidated financial statements pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Certain information related to our organization, significant accounting policies and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP has been condensed or omitted. In the opinion of management, these financial statements include all adjustments that, unless otherwise disclosed, are of a normal recurring nature and necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented. Operating results for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results that can be expected for a full year. You should read these interim financial statements in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto appearing in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

For comparative purposes, certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. All dollar amounts in the tabular presentations are in millions, except per share amounts and unless otherwise noted.

#### Management's Estimates and Assumptions

In preparing our financial statements, we make numerous estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in these financial statements and accompanying notes. We must make these estimates and assumptions because certain information that we use is dependent on future events, cannot be calculated with a high degree of precision from data available or simply cannot be readily calculated based on generally accepted methodologies. In preparing our financial statements, the more critical and subjective areas that deal with the greatest amount of uncertainty relate to our accounting for our long-lived assets, including recoverability, landfill development costs, and final capping, closure and post-closure costs; our valuation allowances for accounts receivable and deferred tax assets; our liabilities for potential litigation, claims and assessments; our liabilities for environmental remediation, employee benefit plans, deferred taxes and uncertain tax positions; our self-insurance reserves; and our estimates of the fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in any acquisition. Each of these items is discussed in more detail in our description of our significant accounting policies in Note 2, *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies*, of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. Our actual results may differ significantly from our estimates.

### 2. BUSINESS ACQUISITIONS

We acquired various solid waste businesses during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011. The purchase price paid for these acquisitions during those periods and the preliminary allocation of the purchase price as of September 30 are as follows:

	2012	2011
Purchase price:		
Cash used in acquisitions, net of cash acquired	\$ 73.1	\$ 40.8
Fair value of operations surrendered	—	47.8
Holdbacks	0.2	0.8
Total	<u>73.3</u>	<u>89.4</u>
Allocated as follows:		
Working capital	2.4	7.0
Property and equipment	22.9	43.9
Other liabilities, net	(2.9)	(8.5)
Value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed	<u>22.4</u>	<u>42.4</u>
Excess purchase price to be allocated	<u>\$ 50.9</u>	<u>\$ 47.0</u>
Excess purchase price allocated as follows:		
Other intangible assets	\$ 13.7	\$ 32.0
Goodwill	37.2	15.0
Total allocated	<u>\$ 50.9</u>	<u>\$ 47.0</u>

Substantially all of the goodwill and intangible assets recorded for these acquisitions are deductible for tax purposes.

### 3. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS, NET

#### Goodwill

A summary of the activity and balances in goodwill accounts by reporting segment is as follows:

Balance at December 31,	Acquisitions	Divestitures	Adjustments to Acquisitions	Balance at September 30,
----------------------------	--------------	--------------	--------------------------------	-----------------------------

	2011				2012	
Eastern	\$ 2,798.0	\$ 15.0	\$ (3.9)	\$ (0.5)	\$ 2,808.6	
Midwestern	2,135.5	0.7	—	(0.8)	2,135.4	
Southern	2,705.0	—	—	(0.4)	2,704.6	
Western	3,008.5	21.5	—	(0.4)	3,029.6	
Total	\$ 10,647.0	\$ 37.2	\$ (3.9)	\$ (2.1)	\$ 10,678.2	
	Balance at December 31, 2010	Acquisitions	Divestitures	Adjustments to Acquisitions	Balance at September 30, 2011	
Eastern	\$ 2,791.9	\$ 7.0	\$ (0.7)	\$ (0.2)	\$ 2,798.0	
Midwestern	2,129.6	4.8	—	0.7	2,135.1	
Southern	2,721.8	2.8	(19.5)	(0.1)	2,705.0	
Western	3,012.0	0.4	(3.6)	(0.2)	3,008.6	
Total	\$ 10,655.3	\$ 15.0	\$ (23.8)	\$ 0.2	\$ 10,646.7	

#### Other Intangible Assets, Net

Other intangible assets, net, include values assigned to customer relationships, franchise agreements, other municipal agreements, non-compete agreements and trade names, and are amortized over periods ranging from 1 to 24 years. A summary of the activity and balances by intangible asset type is as follows:

	Gross Intangible Assets				Accumulated Amortization			Net Intangibles at September 30, 2012	
	Balance at December 31, 2011	Acquisitions	Adjustments to Acquisitions	Divestitures	Balance at December 31, 2011	Additions Charged to Expense	Balance at September 30, 2012		
Customer relationships, franchise and other municipal agreements	\$ 566.2	\$ 10.5	\$ —	\$ (0.1)	\$ 576.6	\$ (194.4)	\$ (43.8)	\$ (238.2)	\$ 338.4
Trade names	30.0	—	—	—	30.0	(18.5)	(4.5)	(23.0)	7.0
Non-compete agreements	16.9	2.5	—	—	19.4	(9.3)	(2.1)	(11.4)	8.0
Other intangible assets	62.9	0.7	(0.1)	—	63.5	(44.2)	(0.8)	(45.0)	18.5
Total	\$ 676.0	\$ 13.7	\$ (0.1)	\$ (0.1)	\$ 689.5	\$ (266.4)	\$ (51.2)	\$ (317.6)	\$ 371.9

	Gross Intangible Assets				Accumulated Amortization			Net Intangibles at September 30, 2011	
	Balance at December 31, 2010	Acquisitions	Adjustments to Acquisitions	Divestitures	Balance at September 30, 2011	Balance at December 31, 2010	Additions Charged to Expense		Balance at September 30, 2011
Customer relationships, franchise and other municipal agreements	\$ 537.1	\$ 28.3	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 565.4	\$ (130.7)	\$ (47.4)	\$ (178.1)	\$ 387.3
Trade names	30.0	—	—	—	30.0	(12.5)	(4.5)	(17.0)	13.0
Non-compete agreements	12.9	3.7	—	—	16.6	(7.2)	(1.5)	(8.7)	7.9
Other intangibles assets	62.9	—	—	—	62.9	(41.2)	(2.3)	(43.5)	19.4
Total	\$ 642.9	\$ 32.0	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 674.9	\$ (191.6)	\$ (55.7)	\$ (247.3)	\$ 427.6

#### 4. OTHER ASSETS

##### Prepaid Expenses and Other Current Assets

A summary of prepaid expenses and other current assets as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 is as follows:

	2012	2011
Inventories	\$ 35.5	\$ 35.2
Prepaid expenses	63.3	53.4
Other non-trade receivables	39.8	54.5
Income tax receivable	65.5	68.4
Commodity and fuel hedge asset	6.4	3.0
Other current assets	7.4	1.4
Total	\$ 217.9	\$ 215.9

##### Other Assets

A summary of other assets as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 is as follows:

	2012	2011
Deferred financing costs	\$ 60.1	\$ 54.6



Deferred compensation plan	49.6	34.5
Notes and other receivables	35.5	31.6
Reinsurance receivable	63.2	58.0
Other	69.7	68.6
Total	\$ 278.1	\$ 247.3

## 5. OTHER LIABILITIES

### Other Accrued Liabilities

A summary of other accrued liabilities as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 is as follows:

	2012	2011
Accrued payroll and benefits	\$ 136.8	\$ 168.9
Accrued fees and taxes	122.4	115.3
Self-insurance reserves, current portion	137.6	114.4
Accrued dividends	85.7	81.4
Synergy incentive plan	—	68.1
Current tax liabilities	0.8	29.4
Accrued professional fees and legal settlement reserves	30.8	80.3
Other	82.0	94.7
Total	\$ 596.1	\$ 752.5

Other accrued liabilities include the fair value of fuel and commodity hedges of \$1.9 million and \$5.4 million as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

### Other Long-Term Liabilities

A summary of other long-term liabilities as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 is as follows:

	2012	2011
Deferred compensation liability	\$ 49.8	\$ 31.4
Pension and other postretirement liabilities	40.4	46.8
Legal settlement reserves	42.9	59.3
Ceded insurance reserves	63.2	58.0
Withdrawal liability - Central States	30.7	—
Other	33.5	26.6
Total	\$ 260.5	\$ 222.1

### Self-Insurance Reserves

In general, our self-insurance reserves are recorded on an undiscounted basis. However, the self-insurance liabilities we acquired in the acquisition of Allied Waste Industries, Inc. (Allied) have been recorded at our estimate of fair value, and, therefore, have been discounted to present value using a rate of 9.75%. Discounted reserves are accreted to non-cash interest expense through the period they are paid.

Our liabilities for unpaid and incurred but not reported claims at September 30, 2012 (which includes claims for workers' compensation, general liability, vehicle liability and employee health care benefits) were \$425.7 million under our current risk management program and are included in other accrued liabilities and self-insurance reserves in our consolidated balance sheets. While the ultimate amount of claims incurred depends on future developments, we believe recorded reserves are adequate to cover the future payment of claims. However, it is possible that recorded reserves may not be adequate to cover the future payment of claims. Adjustments, if any, to estimates recorded resulting from ultimate claim payments are recorded currently in earnings in the periods in which such adjustments are known.

## 6. LANDFILL AND ENVIRONMENTAL COSTS

As of September 30, 2012, we owned or operated 191 active solid waste landfills with total available disposal capacity of approximately 4.9 billion in-place cubic yards. Additionally, we currently have post-closure responsibility for 130 closed landfills.

### Accrued Landfill and Environmental Costs

A summary of landfill and environmental liabilities as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 is as follows:

	2012	2011
Final capping, closure and post-closure liabilities	\$ 1,058.7	\$ 1,037.0
Remediation	546.3	543.7
	1,605.0	1,580.7
Less: Current portion	(185.4)	(184.2)
Long-term portion	\$ 1,419.6	\$ 1,396.5

### Final Capping, Closure and Post-Closure Costs

The following table summarizes the activity in our asset retirement obligation liabilities, which include liabilities for final capping, closure and post-closure, for the nine months ended September 30:

	2012	2011
Asset retirement obligation liabilities, beginning of year	\$ 1,037.0	\$ 1,046.5
Non-cash additions	25.8	25.5
Acquisitions/divestitures and other adjustments	(1.4)	15.1
Asset retirement obligation adjustments	(7.8)	(23.6)
Payments	(54.0)	(73.3)
Accretion expense	59.1	58.6
Asset retirement obligation liabilities, end of period	1,058.7	1,048.8
Less: Current portion	(98.3)	(104.1)
Long-term portion	\$ 960.4	\$ 944.7

Annually, in the fourth quarter, we review and update as necessary, our estimates of asset retirement obligations. However, if there are significant changes in the facts and circumstances related to a site during the year, we will update our assumptions prospectively in the period that all the relevant facts and circumstances are known.

The fair value of assets that are legally restricted for purposes of collateralizing certain of our final capping, closure and post-closure obligations was \$54.2 million and \$54.9 million as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. Such assets are included in restricted cash and marketable securities in our consolidated balance sheets.

### Landfill Operating Expenses

In the normal course of business, we incur various operating costs associated with environmental compliance. These costs include, among other things, leachate treatment and disposal, methane gas and groundwater monitoring and systems maintenance, interim cap maintenance, costs associated with the application of daily cover materials, and the legal and administrative costs of ongoing environmental compliance. These costs are expensed as costs of operations in the periods in which they are incurred.

### Environmental Remediation Liabilities

We accrue for remediation costs when they become probable and can be reasonably estimated. We believe that the amounts accrued for remediation costs are adequate. When there is a range of reasonable estimates of the costs associated with remediation of a site, we use the amount within the range that constitutes our best estimate. If no amount within the range appears to be a better estimate than any other, we use the amount that is at the low end of the range. It is reasonably possible that we will need to adjust the liabilities recorded for remediation to reflect the effects of new or additional information, to the extent such information impacts the costs, timing or duration of the required actions. If we used the reasonably possible high ends of our ranges, our aggregate potential remediation liability at September 30, 2012 would be approximately \$198 million higher than the amounts recorded. Future changes in our estimates of the cost, timing or duration of the required actions could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

The following table summarizes the activity in our environmental remediation liabilities for the nine months ended September 30:

	2012	2011
Remediation liabilities, beginning of year	\$ 543.7	\$ 552.1
Remediation adjustments	28.1	4.7
Payments	(49.5)	(26.7)
Accretion expense (non-cash interest expense)	24.0	24.8
Remediation liabilities, end of period	546.3	554.9
Less: Current portion	(87.1)	(86.1)
Long-term portion	\$ 459.2	\$ 468.8

The following is a discussion of certain of our significant remediation matters:

*Countywide Landfill.* In September 2009, Republic Services of Ohio II, LLC entered into Final Findings and Orders with the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency that require us to implement a comprehensive operation and maintenance program to manage the remediation area at the Countywide Recycling and Disposal Facility (Countywide). The remediation liability for Countywide recorded as of September 30, 2012 is \$53.3 million, of which \$6.0 million is expected to be paid during the next twelve months. We believe the reasonably possible range of loss for remediation costs is \$51 million to \$72 million.

*Congress Landfill.* In August 2010, Congress Development Company agreed with the State of Illinois to have a Final Consent Order (Final Order) entered by the Circuit Court of Illinois, Cook County. Pursuant to the Final Order, we have agreed to continue to implement certain remedial activities at the Congress Landfill. The remediation liability for the Congress Landfill recorded as of September 30, 2012 is \$83.6 million, of which \$7.8 million is expected to be paid during the next twelve months. We believe the reasonably possible range of loss for remediation costs is \$53 million to \$153 million.

## 7. DEBT

Our notes payable, capital leases and long-term debt as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are listed in the following table in millions, and are presented net of unamortized discounts and the unamortized portion of adjustments to fair value recorded in purchase accounting. Original issue discounts and adjustments to fair value recorded in purchase accounting are amortized to interest expense over the term of the applicable instrument using the effective interest method.

September 30, 2012

December 31, 2011

Maturity	Interest Rate	Principal	Discount	Carry Value	Principal	Discount	Carry Value
<b>Credit facilities:</b>							
Uncommitted revolver	Variable	\$ 72.2	\$ —	\$ 72.2	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
September 2013	Variable	—	—	—	17.2	—	17.2
April 2016	Variable	—	—	—	17.2	—	17.2
May 2017	Variable	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>Senior notes:</b>							
June 2017	6.875	—	—	—	750.0	(75.8)	674.2
May 2018	3.800	700.0	(0.2)	699.8	700.0	(0.2)	699.8
September 2019	5.500	650.0	(3.5)	646.5	650.0	(3.8)	646.2
March 2020	5.000	850.0	(0.1)	849.9	850.0	(0.1)	849.9
November 2021	5.250	600.0	—	600.0	600.0	—	600.0
June 2022	3.550	850.0	(2.3)	847.7	—	—	—
May 2023	4.750	550.0	(1.3)	548.7	550.0	(1.4)	548.6
March 2035	6.086	275.7	(25.1)	250.6	275.7	(25.5)	250.2
March 2040	6.200	650.0	(0.5)	649.5	650.0	(0.5)	649.5
May 2041	5.700	600.0	(3.4)	596.6	600.0	(3.4)	596.6
<b>Debentures:</b>							
May 2021	9.250	35.3	(1.9)	33.4	35.3	(2.0)	33.3
September 2035	7.400	165.2	(41.6)	123.6	165.2	(41.9)	123.3
<b>Tax-exempt:</b>							
2012 - 2035	0.190 - 5.625	1,097.9	(0.5)	1,097.4	1,142.2	(15.8)	1,126.4
<b>Other:</b>							
2012 - 2042	5.000 - 11.900	88.5	—	88.5	89.4	—	89.4
<b>Total Debt</b>		<u>\$ 7,184.8</u>	<u>\$ (80.4)</u>	<u>7,104.4</u>	<u>\$ 7,092.2</u>	<u>\$ (170.4)</u>	<u>6,921.8</u>
Less: Current portion				(44.4)			(34.8)
Long-term portion				<u>\$ 7,060.0</u>			<u>\$ 6,887.0</u>

#### Loss on Extinguishment of Debt

The following table summarizes the refinancing transactions that resulted in cash paid for premiums and professional fees to repurchase outstanding debt as well as the non-cash write-off of unamortized debt discounts and deferred issuance costs during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011:

	Quarter	Principal Repaid	Cash Paid in Loss on Extinguishment of Debt	Non-cash Loss on Extinguishment of Debt	Total Loss on Extinguishment of Debt
<b>2012:</b>					
Amendments to Credit Facilities	Second	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 1.5	\$ 1.5
\$750.0 million 6.875% senior notes due June 2017	Second	750.0	25.8	71.0	96.8
Tax-exempt financings	Second	71.9	—	11.9	11.9
Ineffective portion of interest rate lock settlements	Second	—	0.1	—	0.1
Tax-exempt financings	Third	22.1	—	2.3	2.3
Loss on extinguishment of debt for the nine months ended September 30, 2012			<u>\$ 25.9</u>	<u>\$ 86.7</u>	<u>\$ 112.6</u>
<b>2011:</b>					
\$99.5 million 9.250% debentures due May 2021	First	\$ 5.0	\$ 1.5	\$ 0.3	\$ 1.8
Amendments to Credit Facilities	Second	—	—	1.7	1.7
\$600.0 million 7.125% senior notes due May 2016	Second	600.0	21.4	61.3	82.7
\$99.5 million 9.250% debentures due May 2021	Second	59.2	22.7	3.5	26.2
\$360.0 million 7.400% debentures due September 2035	Second	182.7	41.9	46.7	88.6
Ineffective portion of interest rate lock settlements	Second	—	0.3	—	0.3
\$360.0 million 7.400% debentures due September 2035	Third	12.0	2.8	3.2	6.0
Loss on extinguishment of debt for the nine months ended September 30, 2011			<u>\$ 90.6</u>	<u>\$ 116.7</u>	<u>\$ 207.3</u>

#### Credit Facilities

In May 2012, we amended and restated our \$1.25 billion unsecured revolving credit facility due September 2013 (the Amended and Restated Credit Facility) to extend the maturity to May 2017. The Amended and Restated Credit Facility includes a feature that allows us to increase availability, at our option, by an aggregate amount up to \$500 million through increased commitments from existing lenders or the addition of new lenders. At our option, borrowings under

the Amended and Restated Credit Facility bear interest at a Base Rate, or a Eurodollar Rate, plus an applicable margin based on our Debt Ratings (all as defined in the agreements).

Contemporaneous with the execution of the Amended and Restated Credit Facility, we entered into Amendment No. 1 to our existing \$1.25 billion unsecured credit facility (the Existing Credit Facility and, together with the Amended and Restated Credit Facility, the Credit Facilities) to reduce the commitments under the Existing Credit Facility to \$1.0 billion and conform certain terms of the Existing Credit Facility to those of the Amended and Restated Credit Facility. Amendment No. 1 does not extend the maturity date under the Existing Credit Facility, which matures in April 2016.

In connection with entering into the Amended and Restated Credit Facility and Amendment No. 1 to the Existing Facility, the guarantees by our subsidiary guarantors with respect to the Amended and Restated Credit Facility and the Existing Credit Facility were released. As a result, the guarantees by our subsidiary guarantors with respect to all of Republic's outstanding senior notes were automatically released. In addition, the guarantees by all of our subsidiary guarantors (other than Allied Waste Industries, Inc. and Allied Waste North America, Inc.) with respect to the 9.250% debentures and the 7.400% debentures issued by our subsidiary Browning-Ferris Industries, LLC (successor to Browning-Ferris Industries, Inc.) also were automatically released.

A covenant in our Credit Facilities makes it a technical event of default if an ERISA Event (as defined in the agreements) occurs that has resulted or is reasonably expected to result in a liability to us greater than \$25 million (the ERISA Event Limit). During the three months ended September 30, 2012, we recorded a liability of approximately \$31 million in connection with our partial withdrawal from the Central States, Southeast and Southwest Areas Pension Fund, creating a temporary event of default. We promptly reported this to the lenders, and they waived the default on October 15, 2012. On October 29, 2012, we amended our Credit Facilities to (1) except from the ERISA Event of default provision our future incurrence of withdrawal liabilities under listed plans in an amount not to exceed \$250 million and (2) exclude such liabilities from the calculation of EBITDA (as defined in the agreements) used in our covenant calculations. Refer to Note 13, *Commitments and Contingencies*, for our discussion of multiemployer pension plans. With the exception of the ERISA Event issue that has been waived, we were in compliance with all other covenants under our Credit Facilities at September 30, 2012.

As of December 31, 2011, the interest rate for our borrowings under our Credit Facilities was 3.25%. Our Credit Facilities also are subject to facility fees based on applicable rates defined in the agreements and the aggregate commitments, regardless of usage. Availability under our Credit Facilities can be used for working capital, capital expenditures, letters of credit and other general corporate purposes. The agreements governing our Credit Facilities require us to comply with certain financial and other covenants. We may pay dividends and repurchase common stock if we are in compliance with these covenants. As of December 31, 2011, we had \$34.4 million of Eurodollar Rate borrowings. As of September 30, 2012, we had no borrowings under our Credit Facilities. We had \$918.9 million and \$950.2 million of letters of credit using availability under our Credit Facilities, leaving \$1,331.1 million and \$1,515.4 million of availability under our Credit Facilities, at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

In March 2012, we entered into a new \$75.0 million uncommitted, unsecured credit facility agreement (the Uncommitted Credit Facility) bearing interest at LIBOR, plus an applicable margin. In July 2012, we amended the Uncommitted Credit Facility to increase the size to \$125.0 million, with all other terms remaining unchanged. As of September 30, 2012, the interest rate for our borrowings under our Uncommitted Credit Facility was 1.20%. Our Uncommitted Credit Facility also is subject to facility fees defined in the agreement, regardless of usage. We can use borrowings under the Uncommitted Credit Facility for working capital and other general corporate purposes. The agreements governing our Uncommitted Credit Facility require us to comply with certain covenants. The Uncommitted Credit Facility may be terminated by either party at any time.

As of September 30, 2012, we had \$72.2 million of LIBOR borrowings.

### Senior Notes and Debentures

During the three months ended June 30, 2012, we issued \$850.0 million of 3.550% senior notes due 2022 (the 3.550% Notes). The 3.550% Notes are our unsubordinated and unsecured obligations. We used the net proceeds from the 3.550% Notes to fund the redemption of our subsidiary's, Allied Waste North America, Inc., \$750.0 million 6.875% senior notes maturing in 2017 and for general corporate purposes.

### Tax-Exempt Financings

As of September 30, 2012, approximately 80% of our tax-exempt financings are remarketed quarterly, weekly or daily by remarketing agents to effectively maintain a variable yield. Certain of these variable rate tax-exempt financings are credit enhanced with letters of credit having terms in excess of one year issued by banks with investment grade credit ratings. The holders of the bonds can put them back to the remarketing agents at the end of each interest period. To date, the remarketing agents have been able to remarket our variable rate unsecured tax-exempt bonds. These bonds have been classified as long term because of our ability and intent to refinance them using availability under our revolving Credit Facilities, if necessary.

### Other Debt

Other debt includes capital lease liabilities of \$88.0 million and \$88.3 million as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, with maturities ranging from 2012 to 2042.

### Fair Value of Debt

The fair value of our fixed rate senior notes was \$6.8 billion and \$6.3 billion at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The carrying value of our fixed rate senior notes was \$5.8 billion and \$5.7 billion at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The carrying amounts of our remaining notes payable and tax-exempt financings approximate fair value because interest rates are variable and, accordingly, approximate current market rates for instruments with similar risk and maturities. The fair value of our debt, using significant observable market inputs (Level 2), is determined as of the balance sheet date and is subject to change.

### Guarantees

We have guaranteed some of the tax-exempt financings of our subsidiaries. If a subsidiary fails to meet its obligations associated with tax-exempt bonds as they come due, we will be required to perform under the related guarantee agreement. No additional liability has been recorded for these guarantees because the underlying obligations are reflected in our consolidated balance sheets.

### Interest Rate Swap and Lock Agreements

Our ability to obtain financing through the capital markets is a key component of our financial strategy. Historically, we have managed risk associated with executing this strategy, particularly as it relates to fluctuations in interest rates, by using a combination of fixed and floating rate debt. We also entered into interest rate swap agreements to manage risk associated with fluctuations in interest rates. The swap agreements, with a total notional value of \$210.0 million, matured in August 2011. This maturity was identical to our unsecured notes that also matured in August 2011. Under the swap agreements, we paid interest at

floating rates based on changes in LIBOR and received interest at a fixed rate of 6.75%. We reduced interest expense by \$1.0 million and \$5.4 million due to periodic settlements of active swap agreements during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011.

From time to time, we enter into treasury and interest rate locks to manage exposure to fluctuations in interest rates in anticipation of future debt issuances. During the three months ended June 30, 2012, we entered into a number of interest rate lock agreements having an aggregate notional amount of \$200.0 million with fixed interest rates approximating 2.20% to manage exposure to fluctuations in interest rates in anticipation of the planned issuance of the 3.550% Notes. Upon issuance of the 3.550% Notes in the second quarter of 2012, we terminated the interest rate locks and paid \$4.8 million to the counterparties. The effective portion of the interest rate locks, recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income, was \$4.7 million, or \$2.7 million net of tax. The effective portion of the interest rate locks will be amortized as an increase to interest expense over the life of the issued debt. This transaction was accounted for as a cash flow hedge. As of September 30, 2012, no interest rate lock cash flow hedges were outstanding.

As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the effective portion of the interest rate locks, recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income, was \$24.9 million and \$23.2 million, respectively. The effective portion of the interest rate locks will be amortized as an adjustment to interest expense over the life of the issued debt using the effective interest rate method. We expect to amortize \$2.5 million over the next twelve months as a yield adjustment of our senior notes.

The effective portion of the interest rate locks amortized as a net increase to interest expense during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$0.6 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, and for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$1.6 million and \$0.9 million, respectively.

## 8. INCOME TAXES

Our effective tax rate, exclusive of noncontrolling interests, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 was 31.5% and 28.8%, respectively. The effective tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2012 was favorably affected by the realization of additional federal and state credits on the Company's 2011 tax returns, lower state rates due to changes in estimates and adjustments to deferred taxes related to disposition of assets. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, our effective tax rate was, in addition to the matters already discussed, favorably impacted by the second quarter resolution of Allied's 2004 - 2008 tax years at the IRS appeals division, legal entity restructuring completed during the second quarter and a change in estimated non-deductible penalties relating to certain legal settlements. We record interim income tax expense based upon our anticipated full year effective income tax rate.

Income taxes paid, net of refunds received, were \$169.0 million and \$131.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

We are subject to income tax in the United States and Puerto Rico, as well as income tax in multiple state jurisdictions. We also have acquired Allied's open tax periods as a result of the 2008 merger. Consequently, we are currently under examination or administrative review by state and local taxing authorities for various tax years. In addition, we are under federal income tax examination for calendar years 2009 and 2010.

We recognize interest and penalties as incurred within the provision for income taxes in the consolidated statements of income. As of September 30, 2012, we have accrued a liability for penalties of \$0.7 million and a liability for interest (including interest on penalties) of \$20.2 million related to our uncertain tax positions.

We believe that the liabilities for uncertain tax positions recorded are adequate. However, a significant assessment against us in excess of the liabilities recorded could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

During the next twelve months, it is reasonably possible that the amount of unrecognized tax benefits will increase or decrease. Gross unrecognized benefits that we expect to settle in the next twelve months are in the range of \$5 million - \$15 million.

### *Exchange of Partnership Interests*

In 2002, Allied exchanged minority partnership interests in four waste-to-energy facilities for majority partnership interests in equipment purchasing businesses, which are now wholly owned subsidiaries. In 2008, the IRS issued a formal disallowance to Allied contending that the exchange was instead a sale on which a corresponding gain should have been recognized.

In December 2011, we reached an agreement with the IRS appeals division to settle this issue and all other matters related to Allied's 2000 - 2003 tax years. This issue also impacted Allied's 2004 - 2008 tax years, which were settled with the IRS appeals division during the three months ended June 30, 2012.

### *Methane Gas*

As part of its examination of Allied's 2000 - 2008 federal income tax returns, the IRS reviewed Allied's treatment of costs associated with its landfill operations. As a result of this review, the IRS had proposed that certain landfill costs be allocated to the collection and control of methane gas that is naturally produced within the landfill. The IRS' position was that methane gas produced by a landfill is a joint product resulting from operation of the landfill and, therefore, these costs should not be expensed until the methane gas was sold or otherwise disposed.

In December 2011, we resolved all tax matters related to Allied's 2000 - 2003 tax years. Our treatment of costs was sustained and, therefore, no adjustment was made to those tax years. During the three months ended June 30, 2012, Allied's 2004 - 2008 tax years were resolved and, again, our position was sustained. This matter is now settled for all past and present tax years.

## 9. STOCK BASED COMPENSATION

### **Available Shares**

In March 2011, our board of directors approved the Amended and Restated Republic Services, Inc. 2007 Stock Incentive Plan (the Amended and Restated Plan). The Amended and Restated Plan was ratified by the company's stockholders in May 2011. We currently have 19.4 million shares of common stock reserved for future grants under our Amended and Restated Plan.

### **Stock Options**

The following table summarizes the stock option activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2012:

Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price per Share	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value

Outstanding at December 31, 2011	14.3	\$	26.13		
Granted	3.0		29.67		
Exercised	(2.1)		22.39	\$	14.9
Forfeited or expired	(0.4)		29.06		
Outstanding at September 30, 2012	14.8	\$	27.31	4.2	\$ 21.4
Exercisable at September 30, 2012	7.9	\$	26.15	3.2	\$ 17.8

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, compensation expense for stock options was \$10.9 million and \$10.2 million, respectively.

As of September 30, 2012, total unrecognized compensation expense related to outstanding stock options was \$12.2 million, which will be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.8 years.

#### Other Stock Awards

The following table summarizes the restricted stock unit and restricted stock activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2012:

	Number of Restricted Stock Units and Shares of Restricted Stock (in thousands)	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value per Share	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Other stock awards at December 31, 2011	770.0	\$ 27.17		
Granted	291.6	27.78		
Vested and issued	(142.7)	26.84		
Forfeited	(1.3)	29.79		
Other stock awards at September 30, 2012	917.6	\$ 27.41	0.6	\$ 25.2
Vested and unissued at September 30, 2012	527.4	\$ 26.94		

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we awarded our non-employee directors 75,000 restricted stock units, which vested immediately. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we awarded 173,914 restricted stock units to executives that vest in four equal annual installments beginning on the anniversary date of the original grant. In addition, 19,866 restricted stock units were earned as dividend equivalents. The restricted stock units do not carry any voting or dividend rights, except the right to receive additional restricted stock units in lieu of dividends. Also, we granted 22,805 shares of restricted stock to an executive that will vest in February 2013.

The fair value of restricted stock units and restricted stock is based on the closing market price on the date of the grant. The compensation expense related to restricted stock units and restricted stock is amortized ratably over the vesting period.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, compensation expense related to restricted stock units and restricted stock totaled \$6.3 million and \$6.2 million, respectively.

#### 10. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY AND EARNINGS PER SHARE

In August 2011, our board of directors approved a share repurchase program pursuant to which we may repurchase up to \$750.0 million of our outstanding shares of common stock through December 31, 2013. This authorization is in addition to the \$400.0 million repurchase program authorized in November 2010. From November 2010 to September 30, 2012, we repurchased 24.7 million shares of our stock for \$708.3 million at a weighted average cost per share of \$28.65. During the three months ended September 30, 2012, we repurchased 1.3 million shares of our stock for \$35.7 million at a weighted average cost per share of \$28.32.

We initiated a quarterly cash dividend in July 2003 and have increased it from time to time thereafter. In July 2012, the board of directors approved an increase in the quarterly dividend to \$0.235 per share. Cash dividends declared were \$247.6 million and \$232.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. As of September 30, 2012, we recorded a quarterly dividend payable of \$85.7 million to stockholders of record as of October 1, 2012.

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income attributable to Republic Services, Inc. by the weighted average number of common shares (including restricted stock and vested but unissued restricted stock units) outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share is based on the combined weighted average number of common shares and common share equivalents outstanding, which include, where appropriate, the assumed exercise of employee stock options, unvested restricted stock and unvested restricted stock units. In computing diluted earnings per share, we use the treasury stock method.

Earnings per share for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 are calculated as follows (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
<b>Basic earnings per share:</b>				
Net income attributable to Republic Services, Inc.	\$ 152,700	\$ 193,500	\$ 444,800	\$ 398,200
Weighted average common shares outstanding	365,404	373,182	368,096	377,850
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.52	\$ 1.21	\$ 1.05
<b>Diluted earnings per share:</b>				
Net income attributable to Republic Services, Inc.	\$ 152,700	\$ 193,500	\$ 444,800	\$ 398,200

Weighted average common shares outstanding	365,404	373,182	368,096	377,850
Effect of dilutive securities:				
Options to purchase common stock	880	1,351	1,061	1,628
Unvested restricted stock awards	147	162	108	136
Weighted average common and common equivalent shares outstanding	366,431	374,695	369,265	379,614
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.52	\$ 1.20	\$ 1.05
Antidilutive securities not included in the diluted earnings per share calculations:				
Options to purchase common stock	8,170	5,215	7,967	3,462

## 11. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

### Fuel Hedges

We have entered into multiple swap agreements designated as cash flow hedges to mitigate some of our exposure related to changes in diesel fuel prices. The swaps qualified for, and were designated as, effective hedges of changes in the prices of forecasted diesel fuel purchases (fuel hedges).

The following table summarizes our outstanding fuel hedges as of September 30, 2012:

Inception Date	Commencement Date	Termination Date	Notional Amount (in Gallons per Month)	Contract Price per Gallon
November 5, 2007	January 5, 2009	December 30, 2013	60,000	\$ 3.28
March 17, 2008	January 5, 2009	December 31, 2012	50,000	3.72
March 17, 2008	January 5, 2009	December 31, 2012	50,000	3.74
July 10, 2009	January 1, 2012	December 31, 2012	100,000	3.20
August 8, 2011	July 1, 2012	December 31, 2012	500,000	3.84
August 8, 2011	January 1, 2013	December 31, 2013	500,000	3.83
August 8, 2011	January 1, 2014	December 31, 2014	500,000	3.82
August 8, 2011	July 2, 2012	December 31, 2012	500,000	3.84
August 8, 2011	January 7, 2013	December 30, 2013	500,000	3.82
August 9, 2011	July 1, 2012	December 31, 2012	250,000	3.80
August 9, 2011	January 1, 2013	December 31, 2013	250,000	3.83
August 9, 2011	January 1, 2014	December 31, 2014	250,000	3.82
August 9, 2011	January 6, 2014	December 29, 2014	500,000	3.83
September 30, 2011	January 6, 2014	December 29, 2014	250,000	3.69
September 30, 2011	January 7, 2013	December 30, 2013	250,000	3.70
October 3, 2011	January 5, 2015	December 28, 2015	250,000	3.68

If the national U.S. on-highway average price for a gallon of diesel fuel (average price) as published by the Department of Energy exceeds the contract price per gallon, we receive the difference between the average price and the contract price (multiplied by the notional gallons) from the counter-party. If the national U.S. on-highway average price for a gallon of diesel fuel is less than the contract price per gallon, we pay the difference to the counter-party.

The fair values of our fuel hedges are determined using standard option valuation models with assumptions about commodity prices being based on those observed in underlying markets (Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy). The aggregated fair values of our outstanding fuel hedges at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were current assets of \$4.3 million and \$1.6 million, respectively, and current liabilities of \$4.7 million at December 31, 2011, and have been recorded in other current assets and other accrued liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets, respectively. The ineffective portions of the changes in fair values resulted in (losses) gains of less than \$0.1 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and have been recorded in other income (expense), net in our consolidated statements of income.

The following table summarizes the impact of our fuel hedges on our results of operations and comprehensive income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011:

Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivatives (Effective Portion)		Statement of Income Classification	Amount of Realized Gain	
	Three Months Ended September 30,			Three Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011		2012	2011
Fuel hedges	\$ 8.5	\$ (6.1)	Cost of operations	\$ 0.8	\$ 0.3
	Nine Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2012	2011	2012	2011	
Fuel hedges	\$ 4.3	\$ (4.5)	Cost of operations	\$ 1.6	\$ 0.7

### Recycling Commodity Hedges

Our revenue from sales of recycling commodities is primarily from sales of old corrugated cardboard (OCC) and old newspaper (ONP). We use derivative instruments such as swaps and costless collars designated as cash flow hedges to manage our exposure to changes in prices of these commodities. We have entered into multiple agreements related to forecasted OCC and ONP sales. The agreements qualified for, and were designated as, effective hedges of changes in the prices of certain forecasted recycling commodity sales (commodity hedges).

As of September 30, 2012, we had one OCC swap outstanding for 1,500 short tons per month at a contract price of \$115.00. We entered into this swap on October 11, 2010, having a commencement date of January 1, 2011 and a termination date of December 31, 2012.

If the price per short ton of the hedging instrument (average price) as reported on the Official Board Market is less than the contract price per short ton, we receive the difference between the average price and the contract price (multiplied by the notional short tons) from the counter-party. If the price of the commodity exceeds the contract price per short ton, we pay the difference to the counter-party.

The fair values of our commodity swaps are determined using standard option valuation models with assumptions about commodity prices being based on those observed in underlying markets (Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy).

We entered into costless collar agreements on forecasted sales of OCC and ONP. The agreements involve combining a purchased put option giving us the right to sell OCC and ONP at an established floor strike price with a written call option obligating us to deliver OCC and ONP at an established cap strike price. The puts and calls have the same settlement dates, are net settled in cash on such dates and have the same terms to expiration. The contemporaneous combination of options resulted in no net premium for us and represent costless collars. Under the agreements, no payments will be made or received by us, as long as the settlement price is between the floor price and cap price. However, if the settlement price is above the cap, we will be required to pay the counterparty an amount equal to the excess of the settlement price over the cap times the monthly volumes hedged. Also, if the settlement price is below the floor, the counterparty will be required to pay us the deficit of the settlement price below the floor times the monthly volumes hedged. The objective of these agreements is to reduce the variability of the cash flows of the forecasted sales of OCC and ONP between two designated strike prices.

The following costless collar hedges were outstanding as of September 30, 2012:

Inception Date	Commencement Date	Termination Date	Transaction Hedged	Notional Amount (in Short Tons per Month)	Floor Strike Price Per Short Ton	Cap Strike Price Per Short Ton
December 8, 2010	January 1, 2011	December 31, 2012	OCC	2,000	\$ 80.00	\$ 180.00
December 8, 2010	January 1, 2011	December 31, 2012	OCC	2,000	86.00	210.00
December 8, 2010	January 1, 2011	December 31, 2012	OCC	2,000	81.00	190.00
December 8, 2010	January 1, 2011	December 31, 2012	OCC	2,000	85.00	195.00
December 8, 2010	January 1, 2011	December 31, 2012	OCC	2,000	87.00	195.00
January 19, 2011	February 1, 2011	December 31, 2012	OCC	2,500	90.00	155.00
January 19, 2011	February 1, 2011	December 31, 2012	OCC	2,500	90.00	155.00
April 15, 2011	July 1, 2011	December 31, 2012	OCC	2,000	90.00	155.00
April 15, 2011	July 1, 2011	December 31, 2012	OCC	2,000	90.00	155.00
January 11, 2012	February 1, 2012	December 31, 2012	OCC	1,000	85.00	135.00
January 11, 2012	February 1, 2012	December 31, 2012	OCC	1,000	85.00	135.00
January 11, 2012	February 1, 2012	December 31, 2012	OCC	1,000	80.00	125.00
January 31, 2012	April 1, 2012	March 31, 2013	OCC	2,000	85.00	136.00
January 31, 2012	April 1, 2012	March 31, 2013	OCC	2,000	85.00	165.00
January 31, 2012	April 1, 2012	March 31, 2013	OCC	2,000	85.00	156.00
April 26, 2011	July 1, 2011	December 31, 2012	ONP	1,000	90.00	165.00
April 26, 2011	July 1, 2011	December 31, 2012	ONP	1,000	90.00	165.00
August 1, 2011	January 1, 2012	December 31, 2012	ONP	2,000	85.00	135.00
August 1, 2011	January 1, 2012	December 31, 2012	ONP	2,000	85.00	135.00
January 31, 2012	April 1, 2012	March 31, 2013	ONP	2,000	80.00	106.00
January 31, 2012	April 1, 2012	March 31, 2013	ONP	2,000	80.00	110.00
January 31, 2012	April 1, 2012	March 31, 2013	ONP	2,000	80.00	110.00
June 7, 2012	January 1, 2013	December 31, 2013	OCC	2,000	90.00	138.00
June 7, 2012	January 1, 2013	December 31, 2013	OCC	2,000	95.00	140.00
June 7, 2012	January 1, 2013	December 31, 2013	OCC	2,000	95.00	148.00
August 21, 2012	January 1, 2013	December 31, 2013	OCC	2,500	80.00	128.00
August 21, 2012	January 1, 2013	December 31, 2013	OCC	2,500	80.00	128.00
August 21, 2012	January 1, 2013	December 31, 2013	OCC	2,500	75.00	123.00

The costless collar hedges are recorded on the balance sheet at fair value. The fair values of the costless collars are determined using standard option valuation models with assumptions about commodity prices based upon forward commodity price curves in underlying markets (Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy).

The aggregated fair values of the outstanding recycling commodity hedges at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were current assets of \$2.1 million and 1.4 million, respectively, and current liabilities of \$1.9 million and \$0.7 million, respectively, and have been recorded in other current assets and other accrued liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets, respectively. The ineffective portions of the changes in fair values resulted in (losses) gains of less than \$0.1 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and have been recorded in other income (expense), net in our consolidated statements of income.



The following table summarizes the impact of our recycling commodity hedges on our results of operations and comprehensive income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011:

Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivatives (Effective Portion)		Statement of Income Classification	Amount of Realized Gain (Loss)	
	Three Months Ended September 30,			Three Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011		2012	2011
Recycling commodity hedges	\$ 0.1	\$ 0.6	Revenue	\$ 1.4	\$ (2.8)

  

Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivatives (Effective Portion)		Statement of Income Classification	Amount of Realized Gain (Loss)	
	Nine Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011		2012	2011
Recycling commodity hedges	\$ (0.4)	\$ 0.2	Revenue	\$ 1.6	\$ (6.8)

## Fair Value Measurements

In measuring fair values of assets and liabilities, we use valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs (Level 1) and minimize the use of unobservable inputs (Level 3). We also use market data or assumptions that we believe market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability, including assumptions about risk when appropriate.

As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, our assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis include the following:

	Fair Value Measurements Using			
	Total as of September 30, 2012	Quoted Prices in Active Markets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
<b>Assets:</b>				
Money market mutual funds	\$ 37.7	\$ 37.7	\$ —	\$ —
Bonds	45.3	—	45.3	—
Fuel hedges - other current assets	4.3	—	4.3	—
Commodity hedges - other current assets	2.1	—	2.1	—
Total assets	\$ 89.4	\$ 37.7	\$ 51.7	\$ —
<b>Liabilities:</b>				
Commodity hedges - other accrued liabilities	1.9	—	1.9	—

	Fair Value Measurements Using			
	Total as of December 31, 2011	Quoted Prices in Active Markets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
<b>Assets:</b>				
Money market mutual funds	\$ 100.8	\$ 100.8	\$ —	\$ —
Bonds	34.6	—	34.6	—
Fuel hedges - other current assets	1.6	—	1.6	—
Commodity hedges - other current assets	1.4	—	1.4	—
Total assets	\$ 138.4	\$ 100.8	\$ 37.6	\$ —
<b>Liabilities:</b>				
Fuel hedges - other accrued liabilities	\$ 4.7	\$ —	\$ 4.7	\$ —
Commodity hedges - other accrued liabilities	0.7	—	0.7	—
Total liabilities	\$ 5.4	\$ —	\$ 5.4	\$ —

## 12. SEGMENT REPORTING

Our operations are managed and evaluated through four regions: Eastern, Midwestern, Southern and Western. These four regions are presented below as our reportable segments. See our discussion in Note 14, *Subsequent Events*. These reportable segments provide integrated waste management services consisting of collection, transfer station, recycling and disposal of domestic non-hazardous solid waste.

Summarized financial information concerning our reportable segments for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 is shown in the following tables:

	Gross Revenue	Intercompany Revenue	Net Revenue	Depreciation, Amortization, Depletion and Accretion	Operating Income (Loss)	Capital Expenditures	Total Assets
<b>Three Months Ended</b>							
<b>September 30, 2012:</b>							
Eastern	\$ 606.1	\$ (90.6)	\$ 515.5	\$ 45.6	\$ 111.9	\$ 53.9	\$ 4,456.1
Midwestern	553.3	(103.6)	449.7	50.3	89.1	70.0	3,805.5
Southern	599.1	(83.9)	515.2	58.5	103.6	65.1	4,916.6
Western	672.7	(126.4)	546.3	55.8	114.9	58.0	5,612.3
Corporate entities	23.6	(3.4)	20.2	13.0	(101.6)	(2.1)	843.8
Total	<u>\$ 2,454.8</u>	<u>\$ (407.9)</u>	<u>\$ 2,046.9</u>	<u>\$ 223.2</u>	<u>\$ 317.9</u>	<u>\$ 244.9</u>	<u>\$ 19,634.3</u>
<b>Three Months Ended</b>							
<b>September 30, 2011:</b>							
Eastern	\$ 638.6	\$ (95.6)	\$ 543.0	\$ 52.7	\$ 121.3	\$ 56.8	\$ 4,472.2
Midwestern	578.0	(103.2)	474.8	54.6	101.2	55.3	3,812.7
Southern	598.8	(80.0)	518.8	57.6	118.6	57.2	4,892.5
Western	670.7	(117.1)	553.6	56.7	125.7	60.1	5,520.9
Corporate entities	30.1	(4.1)	26.0	12.8	(58.3)	(15.0)	757.9
Total	<u>\$ 2,516.2</u>	<u>\$ (400.0)</u>	<u>\$ 2,116.2</u>	<u>\$ 234.4</u>	<u>\$ 408.5</u>	<u>\$ 214.4</u>	<u>\$ 19,456.2</u>
	Gross Revenue	Intercompany Revenue	Net Revenue	Depreciation, Amortization, Depletion and Accretion	Operating Income (Loss)	Capital Expenditures	Total Assets
<b>Nine Months Ended</b>							
<b>September 30, 2012:</b>							
Eastern	\$ 1,815.2	\$ (269.7)	\$ 1,545.5	\$ 149.3	\$ 328.1	\$ 129.0	\$ 4,456.1
Midwestern	1,634.7	(302.8)	1,331.9	155.1	253.2	153.7	3,805.5
Southern	1,795.4	(251.6)	1,543.8	173.6	323.7	162.6	4,916.6
Western	1,974.4	(374.0)	1,600.4	164.6	327.9	185.5	5,612.3
Corporate entities	78.7	(10.4)	68.3	48.6	(201.1)	76.6	843.8
Total	<u>\$ 7,298.4</u>	<u>\$ (1,208.5)</u>	<u>\$ 6,089.9</u>	<u>\$ 691.2</u>	<u>\$ 1,031.8</u>	<u>\$ 707.4</u>	<u>\$ 19,634.3</u>
<b>Nine Months Ended</b>							
<b>September 30, 2011:</b>							
Eastern	\$ 1,856.0	\$ (274.5)	\$ 1,581.5	\$ 151.7	\$ 375.7	\$ 172.0	\$ 4,472.2
Midwestern	1,654.6	(294.2)	1,360.4	161.0	278.0	171.1	3,812.7
Southern	1,764.0	(236.4)	1,527.6	169.6	348.5	164.4	4,892.5
Western	1,976.8	(350.5)	1,626.3	167.7	366.6	184.8	5,520.9
Corporate entities	84.2	(12.3)	71.9	38.0	(182.9)	3.8	757.9
Total	<u>\$ 7,335.6</u>	<u>\$ (1,167.9)</u>	<u>\$ 6,167.7</u>	<u>\$ 688.0</u>	<u>\$ 1,185.9</u>	<u>\$ 696.1</u>	<u>\$ 19,456.2</u>

Intercompany revenue reflects transactions within and between segments that are generally made on a basis intended to reflect the market value of such services.

Corporate functions include legal, tax, treasury, information technology, risk management, human resources, corporate accounts, closed landfills and other typical administrative functions. Capital expenditures for corporate entities primarily include vehicle inventory acquired but not yet assigned to operating locations and facilities.

The following table shows our total reported revenue by service line for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 (in millions of dollars or as a percentage of revenue):

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2012		2011		2012		2011	
<b>Collection:</b>								
Residential	\$ 542.0	26.5%	\$ 538.3	25.4%	\$ 1,614.0	26.5%	\$ 1,601.6	26.0%
Commercial	632.4	30.9	625.9	29.6	1,884.4	30.9	1,871.5	30.3
Industrial	395.9	19.3	397.5	18.8	1,154.7	19.0	1,141.7	18.5
Other	8.4	0.4	8.5	0.4	24.9	0.4	24.4	0.4
Total collection	1,578.7	77.1	1,570.2	74.2	4,678.0	76.8	4,639.2	75.2
Transfer	247.7		258.8		721.4		750.9	
Less: Intercompany	(146.4)		(146.3)		(427.5)		(433.0)	
Transfer, net	101.3	5.0	112.5	5.3	293.9	4.8	317.9	5.2
Landfill	477.7		496.4		1,408.7		1,400.3	

Less: Intercompany	(218.2)		(219.8)		(650.0)		(636.1)	
Landfill, net	259.5	12.7	276.6	13.1	758.7	12.5	764.2	12.4
Sale of recyclable materials	78.4	3.8	120.0	5.7	266.5	4.4	341.2	5.5
Other non-core	29.0	1.4	36.9	1.7	92.8	1.5	105.2	1.7
Other	107.4	5.2	156.9	7.4	359.3	5.9	446.4	7.2
Total revenue	\$ 2,046.9	100.0%	\$ 2,116.2	100.0%	\$ 6,089.9	100.0%	\$ 6,167.7	100.0%

Other revenue consists primarily of revenue from National Accounts. National Accounts revenue included in other revenue represents the portion of revenue generated from nationwide contracts in markets outside our operating areas, and, as such, the associated waste handling services are subcontracted to local operators. Consequently, substantially all of this revenue is offset with related subcontract costs which are recorded in cost of operations.

### 13. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

#### General Legal Proceedings

We are subject to extensive and evolving laws and regulations and have implemented safeguards to respond to regulatory requirements. In the normal course of our business, we become involved in legal proceedings. Some of these actions may result in fines, penalties or judgments against us, which may impact earnings and cash flows for a particular period. Although we cannot predict the ultimate outcome of any legal matter with certainty, except as described below we do not believe the outcome of our pending legal proceedings will have a material adverse impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

As used herein, the term *legal proceedings* refers to litigation and similar claims against us and our subsidiaries, excluding: (1) ordinary course accidents, general commercial liability and workers compensation claims, which are covered by insurance programs, subject to customary deductibles, and which, together with self-insured employee health care costs, are discussed in Note 5, *Other Liabilities*; (2) environmental remediation liabilities, which are discussed in Note 6, *Landfill and Environmental Costs*; and (3) tax-related matters, which are discussed in Note 8, *Income Taxes*.

We accrue for legal proceedings when losses become probable and reasonably estimable. We have recorded an aggregate accrual of approximately \$58 million relating to our outstanding legal proceedings as of September 30, 2012, including those described in this Form 10-Q and others that are not specifically described. As of the end of each reporting period, we review each of our legal proceedings and we accrue, as a charge currently in expense, for all probable and reasonably estimable losses. Where we are able to reasonably estimate a range of losses we may incur with respect to such a matter, we accrue for the amount within the range that constitutes our best estimate. If we are able to reasonably estimate a range but no amount within the range appears to be a better estimate than any other, we use the amount that is the low end of the range. If we had used the high ends of the ranges, our aggregate potential liability would have been approximately \$75 million higher than the amount recorded as of September 30, 2012.

#### Countywide Matters

As discussed in Note 6, *Landfill and Environmental Costs*, in September 2009, Republic Services of Ohio II, LLC (Republic-Ohio) entered into Final Findings and Orders with the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency that require us to implement a comprehensive operation and maintenance program to manage the remediation area at the Countywide Recycling and Disposal Facility. The remediation liability for the landfill recorded as of September 30, 2012 is \$53.3 million, of which \$6.0 million is expected to be paid during the next twelve months. We believe the reasonably possible range of loss for remediation costs is \$51 million to \$72 million.

In a suit filed on October 8, 2008 in the Tuscarawas County Ohio Court of Common Pleas, approximately 700 individuals and businesses located in the area around the Countywide Recycling and Disposal Facility sued Republic Services, Inc. (Republic), Republic-Ohio, Waste Management, Inc. (WMI) and Waste Management Ohio, Inc. (WMO) for alleged negligence and nuisance. Republic-Ohio has owned and operated the landfill since February 1, 1999. Plaintiffs allege that due to the acceptance of a specific waste stream and operational issues and conditions, the landfill has generated odors and other unsafe emissions that have impaired the use and value of their property and may have adverse health effects. A second almost identical lawsuit was filed by approximately 82 plaintiffs on October 13, 2009 in the Tuscarawas County Ohio Court of Common Pleas against Republic, Republic-Ohio, WMI and WMO. The court has consolidated the two actions. We have assumed both the defense and the liability of WMI and WMO in the consolidated action. The relief requested on behalf of each plaintiff in the consolidated action is: (1) an award of compensatory damages according to proof in an amount in excess of \$25,000 for each of the three counts of the amended complaint; (2) an award of punitive damages in the amount of two times compensatory damages, pursuant to applicable statute, or in such amount as may be awarded at trial for each of the three counts of the amended complaint; (3) costs for medical screening and monitoring of each plaintiff; (4) interest on the damages according to law; (5) costs and disbursements of the lawsuit; (6) reasonable fees for attorneys and expert witnesses; and (7) any further relief the court deems just, proper and equitable. Plaintiffs filed an amended consolidated complaint on September 9, 2010, which no longer asserts a claim for medical monitoring. Plaintiffs also have abandoned any claims for adverse health effects. As a result of various dismissals of plaintiffs, this case presently consists of approximately 600 plaintiffs. Discovery is ongoing. In February 2011, the court granted our motion to dismiss plaintiffs' qualified statutory nuisance claims. Republic, WMI and WMO have been dismissed from the litigation. We will continue to vigorously defend against the plaintiffs' allegations in the consolidated action.

#### Luri Matter

On August 17, 2007, a former employee, Ronald Luri, sued Republic Services, Inc., Republic Services of Ohio Hauling LLC, Republic Services of Ohio I LLC, Jim Bowen and Ron Krall in the Cuyahoga County Common Pleas Court in Ohio. Plaintiff alleges that he was unlawfully fired in retaliation for refusing to discharge or demote three employees who were all over 50 years old. On July 3, 2008, a jury verdict was awarded against us in the amount of \$46.6 million, including \$43.1 million in punitive damages. On September 24, 2008, the Court awarded pre-judgment interest of \$0.3 million and attorney fees and litigation costs of \$1.1 million. Post-judgment interest accrued or will accrue at a rate of 8% for 2008, 5% for 2009, 4% for 2010 and 2011, and 3% for 2012. We appealed to the Court of Appeals, and on May 19, 2011 the court reduced the punitive damages award to \$7.0 million. Plaintiff appealed to the Ohio Supreme Court, challenging the reduction of punitive damages. We cross-appealed, seeking a new trial on the ground that the proceedings in the trial court violated Ohio's punitive damages statute, which requires that the compensatory and punitive damages phases of trial be bifurcated in certain types of cases. On February 15, 2012, in a case called *Havel v. Villa St. Joseph*, the Ohio Supreme Court upheld the constitutionality of the bifurcation requirement. On July 3, 2012, the Ohio Supreme Court reversed the judgment against us and remanded the case for further proceedings consistent with its decision in *Havel*. Plaintiff filed a motion for reconsideration/clarification, which the Ohio Supreme Court denied. Plaintiff then filed a motion for additional briefing before the Court of Appeals. The Court of Appeals denied the request and remanded the case to the Cuyahoga County Common Pleas Court for proceedings consistent with *Havel*. We will continue to defend this matter vigorously.

#### Chicago Contracting Matter

We discovered actions of non-compliance by one of our subsidiaries with the subcontracting provisions of certain government contracts in our Chicago market. These contracts included contracts with the City of Chicago (the City) and with certain other municipal agencies in the Chicago area. We reported the discovery to, and have had further discussions with, law enforcement and other authorities.

On December 29, 2011, we signed a settlement agreement with the City under which we have: (1) paid the City \$11.0 million in January 2012; (2) agreed to convert 79 positions at our Chicago transfer station and materials recovery facilities from temporary to full-time employee positions; and (3) released any claims we might have due to alleged shortfalls in the amount of waste delivered by the City under one of our contracts. In exchange, the City released all claims against us and agreed that we may continue to perform our existing contracts and that we will remain eligible to bid on future City contracts.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2012, we successfully concluded our discussions with law enforcement authorities. We also reached settlements with two municipal agencies requiring payments totaling approximately \$300,000, which payments have been made. During the quarter ended September 30, 2012, we reached a settlement with one municipal agency requiring a payment of \$333,000, which payment has not yet been made. In October 2012, we reached a settlement with one municipality that does not require us to make any payment. We continue to discuss this matter with one other municipal agency. We anticipate that we will make payments totaling less than \$100,000 to the other municipal agency in order to fully resolve this matter.

### ***Congress Development Landfill Matters***

Congress Development Co. (CDC) is a general partnership that owns and operates the Congress Landfill. The general partners in CDC are our subsidiary, Allied Waste Transportation, Inc. (Allied Transportation), and an unaffiliated entity, John Sexton Sand & Gravel Corporation (Sexton). Sexton was the operator of the landfill through early 2007, when Allied Transportation took over as the operator. The general partners likely will be jointly and severally liable for the costs associated with the following matters relating to the Congress Landfill.

As is discussed in Note 6, *Landfill and Environmental Costs*, in August 2010, CDC agreed with the State of Illinois to have a Final Consent Order (Final Order) entered by the Circuit Court of Illinois, Cook County. Pursuant to the Final Order, we have agreed to continue to implement certain remedial activities at the landfill. The remediation liability for the landfill recorded as of September 30, 2012 is \$83.6 million, of which \$7.8 million is expected to be paid during the next twelve months. We believe the reasonably possible range of loss for remediation costs is \$53 million to \$153 million.

In a suit originally filed on December 23, 2009 in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois and subsequently amended to add additional plaintiffs, approximately 2,800 plaintiffs sued our subsidiaries Allied Transportation and Allied Waste Industries, Inc. (Allied), CDC and Sexton. The court entered an order dismissing Allied without prejudice on October 26, 2010. The plaintiffs allege bodily injury, property damage and inability to have normal use and enjoyment of property arising from, among other things, odors and other damages arising from landfill gas leaking, and they base their claims on negligence, trespass, and nuisance. On January 6, 2012, the court took plaintiffs' motion for leave to amend their complaint to seek punitive damages under advisement, to be considered on a plaintiff-by-plaintiff basis. The court also granted plaintiffs leave to serve discovery on the punitive damages issue. Following the court's order in our favor striking the plaintiffs' allegations requesting actual damages in excess of \$50 million and punitive damages in excess of \$50 million, the amount of damages being sought is unspecified. Discovery is ongoing. We intend to vigorously defend against the plaintiffs' allegations in this action.

### ***Livingston Matter***

On October 13, 2009, the Twenty-First Judicial District Court, Parish of Livingston, State of Louisiana, issued its Post Class Certification Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law in a lawsuit alleging nuisance from the activities of the former hazardous waste facility owned by our subsidiary CECOS International, Inc. (CECOS) located in Livingston Parish, Louisiana. The court granted class certification for all those living within a six mile radius of the CECOS site between the years 1977 and 1990. We appealed the class certification order. On August 17, 2011, the court of appeals granted a joint motion to remand the case to the trial court for the parties to finalize a proposed settlement. The parties executed a settlement agreement on September 15, 2011, which was approved by the trial court at a fairness hearing on December 8, 2011. The settlement agreement, which provides for payment of \$29.5 million to settle the claims of the class, became final upon the expiration of the appeal period on February 23, 2012, at which time we made the \$29.5 million payment.

### ***Multiemployer Pension Plans***

We contribute to 27 multiemployer pension plans under collective bargaining agreements covering union-represented employees. Approximately 20% of our total current employees are participants in such multiemployer plans. These plans generally provide retirement benefits to participants based on their service to contributing employers. We do not administer these multiemployer plans. In general, these plans are managed by a board of trustees with the unions appointing certain trustees and other contributing employers of the plan appointing certain members. We generally are not represented on the board of trustees.

Further, under current law regarding multiemployer pension plans, a plan's termination, and any termination of an employer's obligation to make contributions, including our voluntary withdrawal (which we consider from time to time), or the mass withdrawal of all contributing employers from any under-funded multiemployer pension plan (each, a "Withdrawal Event") would require us to make payments to the plan for our proportionate share of the multiemployer pension plan's unfunded vested liabilities. During the course of operating our business, we incur Withdrawal Events with respect to certain of our multiemployer pension plans. We accrue for such events when losses become probable and reasonably estimable. We cannot assure you that there will not be a Withdrawal Event, where the amounts we would be required to contribute would have a material adverse impact on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

### ***Central States, Southeast and Southwest Areas Pension Fund***

We have collective bargaining agreements (CBAs) with local bargaining units of the Teamsters under which we have obligations to contribute to the Central States, Southeast and Southwest Areas Pension Fund (the Fund). All of these CBAs are or will be under negotiation in 2012 and 2013. As part of our negotiations, we have proposed or intend to propose to withdraw from the Fund. Withdrawal is only one of the issues in these negotiations, and we do not know what the ultimate outcome of the negotiations in any locale will be. With respect to each CBA, if we do withdraw, this will constitute a Withdrawal Event and will require us to make payments to the Fund for a proportionate share of its unfunded vested liabilities.

We withdrew recognition of the Teamsters as the designated representative of our employees in our Danville, Illinois facility during the second quarter of 2012. In the third quarter of 2012, the Teamsters abandoned their challenge to the withdrawal of recognition. In addition, during the three months ended September 30, 2012, employees in our Dayton, Ohio facility ratified a new CBA that includes a provision terminating our obligation to contribute to the Fund. Each of these actions constitutes a Withdrawal Event. Based on information provided to us by the Fund, our actuarial calculations and a number of other variable factors including our estimated number of 2013 contribution based units, we have estimated our liability associated with these two Withdrawal Events will be approximately \$31 million, which has been charged to earnings during the three months ended September 30, 2012.

If we do have an additional Withdrawal Event or Events with respect to one or more of the remaining bargaining units, the amount ultimately payable would depend upon a number of variable factors involving valuations and actuarial calculations. We do not presently know what the result of those calculations and

valuations would be. However, we believe our additional liability upon a complete withdrawal from the Fund would be approximately \$95 million if it were to occur in 2012 and between \$113 million and \$146 million if it were to occur in 2013, based on current estimates. In the future, a loss may become probable, at which time or times we will incur an expense that will reduce earnings. Any such expense may be material to our results of operations in the period or periods incurred. The liability associated with any such expense or expenses ordinarily would be due in installments over a period of 20 years, and the payments are unlikely to be material to our cash flow in any particular period.

Based on information received from the 27 multiemployer pension plans to which we contribute, we believe that our potential liability in the event of a complete withdrawal from the Fund is (a) much greater than our potential liability in the event of a complete withdrawal from any of the 26 other multiemployer pension plans in which we participate and (b) greater than our potential aggregate liability in the event of complete withdrawal from all the 20 other multiemployer pension plans to which we contribute and that are either "critical" or "endangered" as those terms are defined in the Pension Protection Act.

For additional discussion and detail regarding multiemployer pension plans, see Note 11, *Employee Benefit Plans*, to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011.

#### Restricted Cash and Marketable Securities

Our restricted cash and marketable securities deposits include, among other things, restricted cash and marketable securities held for capital expenditures under certain debt facilities, and restricted cash and marketable securities pledged to regulatory agencies and governmental entities as financial guarantees of our performance related to our final capping, closure and post-closure obligations at our landfills. The following table summarizes our restricted cash and marketable securities:

	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Financing proceeds	\$ 0.1	\$ 22.5
Capping, closure and post-closure obligations	54.2	54.9
Self-insurance	75.6	75.2
Other	3.0	37.0
Total restricted cash and marketable securities	<u>\$ 132.9</u>	<u>\$ 189.6</u>

#### Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have no off-balance sheet debt or similar obligations, other than operating leases and the financial assurances, which are not classified as debt. We have no transactions or obligations with related parties that are not disclosed, consolidated into or reflected in our reported financial position or results of operations. We have not guaranteed any third-party debt.

#### 14. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

In October 2012, we restructured our field and corporate operations to create a more efficient and competitive company. These changes include consolidating our field regions from four to three, and our areas from 28 to 20, relocating office space, and reducing administrative staffing levels. We expect to record expenses of approximately \$30 million with respect to this restructuring, approximately one-half of which will be incurred in the fourth quarter of 2012.

**ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.**

You should read the following discussion in conjunction with the unaudited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included under Item 1. In addition, you should refer to our audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto and related *Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations* appearing in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

**Overview**

We are the second largest provider of services in the domestic non-hazardous solid waste industry, as measured by revenue. We provide non-hazardous solid waste collection services for commercial, industrial, municipal and residential customers through 333 collection operations in 38 states and Puerto Rico. We own or operate 193 transfer stations, 191 active solid waste landfills and 71 materials recovery facilities. We also operate 69 landfill gas and renewable energy projects.

Revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 decreased to \$6,089.9 million compared to \$6,167.7 million for the same period in 2011. This change in revenue is due to increases in core price of 0.7% and acquisitions, net of divestitures of 0.4% more than offset by decreases in volume of 1.1% and recycling commodities of 1.3%. Fuel surcharges were flat versus the comparable 2011 period.

The following table summarizes our revenue, costs and expenses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 (in millions of dollars and as a percentage of revenue):

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2012		2011		2012		2011	
Revenue	\$ 2,046.9	100.0 %	\$ 2,116.2	100.0 %	\$ 6,089.9	100.0 %	\$ 6,167.7	100.0 %
Expenses:								
Cost of operations	1,280.5	62.6	1,260.0	59.6	3,722.2	61.1	3,657.5	59.3
Depreciation, amortization and depletion of property and equipment	186.1	9.1	195.5	9.2	579.2	9.5	572.3	9.3
Amortization of other intangible assets and other assets	17.4	0.9	19.5	0.9	52.9	0.9	57.1	0.9
Accretion	19.7	0.9	19.4	0.9	59.1	1.0	58.6	1.0
Selling, general and administrative	193.8	9.5	207.5	9.8	613.5	10.1	611.5	9.9
Negotiation and withdrawal costs - Central States	31.3	1.5	—	—	34.6	0.6	—	—
Loss (gain) on disposition of assets and impairments, net	0.2	—	5.8	0.3	(3.4)	(0.1)	24.8	0.4
Operating income	\$ 317.9	15.5 %	\$ 408.5	19.3 %	\$ 1,031.8	16.9 %	\$ 1,185.9	19.2 %

Our pre-tax income was \$223.1 million and \$624.8 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, versus \$296.0 million and \$647.3 million for the comparable 2011 periods, respectively. Our net income attributable to Republic Services, Inc. was \$152.7 million and \$444.8 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, or \$0.42 and \$1.20 per diluted share, respectively, versus \$193.5 million and \$398.2 million, or \$0.52 and \$1.05 per diluted share for the comparable 2011 periods, respectively.

During each of the three and nine months ended September 30, we recorded a number of charges and other expenses and benefits that impacted our pre-tax income, net income attributable to Republic Services, Inc. (Net Income — Republic) and diluted earnings per share as noted in the following table (in millions, except per share data). Additionally, see our *“Cost of Operations,” “Selling, General and Administrative Expenses”* and *“Income Taxes”* discussions contained in the Results of Operations section of this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for a discussion of other items that impacted our earnings.

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2012			Three Months Ended September 30, 2011		
	Pre-tax	Net	Diluted	Pre-tax	Net	Diluted
	Income	Income - Republic	Earnings per Share	Income	Income - Republic	Earnings per Share
As reported	\$ 223.1	\$ 152.7	\$ 0.42	\$ 296.0	\$ 193.5	\$ 0.52
Negotiation and withdrawal costs - Central States	31.3	18.6	0.05	—	—	—
Loss on extinguishment of debt	2.3	1.3	—	6.0	5.8	0.01
(Gain) loss on disposition of assets and impairments, net	0.1	(1.9)	—	5.8	(0.8)	—
Adjusted	\$ 256.8	\$ 170.7	\$ 0.47	\$ 307.8	\$ 198.5	\$ 0.53

  

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012			Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011		
	Pre-tax	Net	Diluted	Pre-tax	Net	Diluted
	Income	Income - Republic	Earnings per Share	Income	Income - Republic	Earnings per Share
As reported	\$ 624.8	\$ 444.8	\$ 1.20	\$ 647.3	\$ 398.2	\$ 1.05
Negotiation and withdrawal costs - Central States	34.6	20.6	0.06	—	—	—
Loss on extinguishment of debt	112.6	68.7	0.19	207.3	127.3	0.33
(Gain) loss on disposition of assets and impairments, net	(3.6)	(4.1)	(0.01)	24.8	17.6	0.05
Adjusted	\$ 768.4	\$ 530.0	\$ 1.44	\$ 879.4	\$ 543.1	\$ 1.43

We believe that presenting adjusted pre-tax income, adjusted net income attributable to Republic Services, Inc. and adjusted diluted earnings per share, which are not measures determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States (U.S. GAAP), provides an understanding of operational activities before the financial impact of certain non-operational items. We use these measures, and believe investors will find them helpful, in understanding the ongoing performance of our operations separate from items that have a disproportionate impact on our results for a particular period. Comparable charges and costs have been incurred in prior periods, and similar types of adjustments can reasonably be expected to be recorded in future periods. Our definition of adjusted pre-tax income, adjusted net income attributable to Republic Services, Inc. and adjusted diluted earnings per share may not be comparable to similarly titled measures presented by other companies.

*Negotiation and withdrawal costs - Central States.* During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, we incurred costs related to the negotiation of collective bargaining agreements under which we have obligations to contribute to the Central States, Southeast and Southwest Areas Pension Fund (Central States or the Fund). Additionally, during the three months ended September 30, 2012, we recorded a charge to earnings of approximately \$31 million for our partial withdrawal liability from the Fund.

*Loss on Extinguishment of Debt.* During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, we completed refinancing transactions that resulted in cash paid for premiums and professional fees to repurchase outstanding debt as well as the non-cash write-off of unamortized debt discounts and deferred issuance costs. For a more detailed discussion of the components of these costs and the debt series to which they relate, see our "Loss on Extinguishment of Debt" discussion contained in the Results of Operations section of this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

*(Gain) loss on Disposition of Assets and Impairments, Net.* During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we recorded a net gain on disposition of assets and impairments of \$3.6 million primarily related to divestitures in our Eastern Region. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, we recorded a loss on disposition of assets and impairments, net of \$5.8 million and \$24.8 million, respectively, primarily related to divestitures in our Southern and Western Regions.

## Recent Developments

In October 2012, we restructured our field and corporate operations to create a more efficient and competitive company. These changes include consolidating our field regions from four to three and our areas from 28 to 20, relocating office space, and reducing administrative staffing levels. We expect to record expenses of approximately \$30 million with respect to this

restructuring, approximately one-half of which will be incurred in the fourth quarter of 2012. We expect this restructuring will reduce our selling, general and administrative expenses by approximately \$23 million annually.

In November 2012, we updated our full year 2012 financial guidance and provided a preliminary 2013 outlook.

### **Adjusted Diluted Earnings per Share**

The following is a summary of anticipated adjusted diluted earnings per share for the year ending December 31, 2012 which is not a measure determined in accordance with GAAP:

	(Anticipated) Year Ending December 31, 2012
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 1.58 - 1.60
Loss on extinguishment of debt	0.19
Negotiation and withdrawal costs - Central States	0.06
Restructuring expenses	0.03
Gain on disposition of assets and impairments, net	(0.01)
Adjusted diluted earnings per share	<u>\$ 1.85 - 1.87</u>

We also are providing our preliminary outlook for 2013. Adjusted diluted earnings per share is expected to be in a range of \$1.90 to \$1.92. This adjusted diluted earnings per share guidance assumes an effective tax rate of 33.5% and 38.0% for the years ending December 31, 2012 and 2013, respectively.

We believe that the presentation of adjusted diluted earnings per share, which excludes loss on extinguishment of debt in 2012, negotiation and withdrawal costs – Central States, restructuring expenses and gain on disposition of assets and impairments, net, provides an understanding of operational activities before the financial impact of certain items. We use this measure, and believe investors will find it helpful, in understanding the ongoing performance of our operations separate from items that have a disproportionate impact on our results for a particular period. We have incurred comparable charges and costs in prior periods, and similar types of adjustments can reasonably be expected to be recorded in future periods. Our definition of adjusted diluted earnings per share may not be comparable to similarly titled measures presented by other companies.

## **Results of Operations**

### **Revenue**

We generate revenue primarily from our solid waste collection operations. Our remaining revenue is from other services, including transfer stations, landfill disposal and recycling. Our revenue from collection operations consists of fees we receive from commercial, industrial, municipal and residential customers. Our residential and commercial collection operations in some markets are based on long-term contracts with municipalities. Certain of our municipal contracts have annual price escalation clauses that are tied to changes in an underlying base index such as the consumer price index. We generally provide commercial and industrial collection services to customers under contracts with terms up to three years. Our transfer stations, landfills and, to a lesser extent, our material recovery facilities generate revenue from disposal or tipping fees charged to third parties. In general, we integrate our recycling operations with our collection operations and obtain revenue from the sale of recyclable materials. Other non-core revenue consists primarily of revenue from National Accounts, which represents the portion of revenue generated from nationwide contracts in markets outside our operating areas, and, as such, the associated waste handling services are subcontracted to local operators. Consequently, substantially all of this revenue is offset with related subcontract costs, which are recorded in cost of operations.

The following table reflects our revenue by service line for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 (in millions of dollars and as a percentage of revenue):



	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,				
	2012		2011		2012		2011		
<b>Collection:</b>									
Residential	\$ 542.0	26.5 %	\$ 538.3	25.4 %	\$ 1,614.0	26.5 %	\$ 1,601.6	26.0 %	
Commercial	632.4	30.9	625.9	29.6	1,884.4	30.9	1,871.5	30.3	
Industrial	395.9	19.3	397.5	18.8	1,154.7	19.0	1,141.7	18.5	
Other	8.4	0.4	8.5	0.4	24.9	0.4	24.4	0.4	
Total collection	1,578.7	77.1	1,570.2	74.2	4,678.0	76.8	4,639.2	75.2	
Transfer	247.7		258.8		721.4		750.9		
Less: Intercompany	(146.4)		(146.3)		(427.5)		(433.0)		
Transfer, net	101.3	5.0	112.5	5.3	293.9	4.8	317.9	5.2	
Landfill	477.7		496.4		1,408.7		1,400.3		
Less: Intercompany	(218.2)		(219.8)		(650.0)		(636.1)		
Landfill, net	259.5	12.7	276.6	13.1	758.7	12.5	764.2	12.4	
Sale of recyclable materials	78.4	3.8	120.0	5.7	266.5	4.4	341.2	5.5	
Other non-core	29.0	1.4	36.9	1.7	92.8	1.5	105.2	1.7	
Other	107.4	5.2	156.9	7.4	359.3	5.9	446.4	7.2	
Total revenue	\$ 2,046.9	100.0 %	\$ 2,116.2	100.0 %	\$ 6,089.9	100.0 %	\$ 6,167.7	100.0 %	

Changes in price are restricted on approximately 50% of our annual revenue. Of these restricted pricing arrangements:

- approximately 65% are price changes based upon fluctuation in a specific index as defined in the contract (primarily based on consumer price index);
- approximately 15% are fixed price increases based on stated contract terms; or
- approximately 20% are price changes based on a cost plus a specific profit margin or other measurement.

The consumer price index varies from a single historical stated period of time or an average of trailing historical rates over a stated period of time. In addition, most pricing resets lag between the measurement period and the date the revised pricing goes into effect. As a result, current changes in a specific index, such as the consumer price index, may not manifest themselves in our reported pricing for several quarters into the future.

The following table reflects changes in our revenue for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 versus the comparable 2011 periods:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Core price	1.0 %	0.7 %	0.7 %	0.9 %
Fuel surcharges	(0.4)	1.2	—	1.0
Total price	0.6	1.9	0.7	1.9
Volume	(2.1)	0.3	(1.1)	(0.5)
Recycling commodities	(2.0)	1.7	(1.3)	1.4
San Mateo and Toronto contract losses	—	(1.4)	—	(1.4)
Total internal growth	(3.5)	2.5	(1.7)	1.4
Acquisitions / divestitures, net	0.2	0.1	0.4	(0.1)
Total	(3.3)%	2.6 %	(1.3)%	1.3 %

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, we experienced the following changes in our revenue versus the comparable 2011 periods:

- Core price increased revenue by 1.0% and 0.7%, respectively, due to positive pricing in all lines of business.
- Volume decreased revenue by 2.1% (including 0.5% due to one less workday) and 1.1%, respectively. Volume declines were primarily in our industrial collection, landfill and transfer station lines of business due to the acquisition of a large national broker by our competitor, the loss of a large national accounts contract and the loss of certain municipal disposal contracts. We also experienced decreases in special waste and construction and demolition volumes in our landfill line of business.
- Recycling commodities decreased revenue by 2.0% and 1.3%, respectively, primarily due to the change in the market price of materials. Average prices for old corrugated cardboard (OCC) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 were \$110.13 and \$127.89 per ton versus \$172.57 and \$165.04 per ton for the comparable 2011 periods, a decrease of \$62.44 and \$37.15 per ton or 36.2% and 22.5%, respectively. Average prices of old newspaper (ONP) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 were \$92.45 and \$104.46 per ton versus \$152.93 and \$150.59 per ton for the comparable 2011 periods, a decrease of \$60.48 and \$46.13 per ton or 39.5% and 30.6%, respectively. The declines in prices were partially offset by increased volumes processed.

Changing market demand for recyclable materials causes volatility in commodity prices. At current volumes and mix of materials, we believe a ten dollar per ton change in the price of recyclable materials will change annual revenue and operating income by approximately \$27 million and \$18 million, respectively.

### Cost of Operations

Cost of operations includes labor and related benefits, which consists of salaries and wages, health and welfare benefits, incentive compensation and payroll taxes. It also includes transfer and disposal costs representing tipping fees paid to third party disposal facilities and transfer stations; maintenance and repairs relating to our vehicles, equipment and containers, including related labor and benefit costs; transportation and subcontractor costs, which include costs for independent haulers who transport our waste to disposal facilities and costs for local operators who provide waste handling services associated with our National Accounts in markets outside our standard operating areas; fuel, which includes the direct cost of fuel used by our vehicles, net of fuel credits; disposal franchise fees and taxes consisting of landfill taxes, municipal franchise fees, host community fees and royalties; landfill operating costs, which includes financial assurance, leachate disposal and other landfill maintenance costs; risk management, which includes casualty insurance premiums and claims; cost of goods sold, which includes material costs paid to suppliers associated with recycling commodities; and other, which includes expenses such as facility operating costs, equipment rent and gains or losses on sale of assets used in our operations.

The following table summarizes the major components of our cost of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 (in millions of dollars and as a percentage of revenue):

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2012		%	2011		%	2012		%	2011		%
Labor and related benefits	\$ 393.0		19.2 %	\$ 387.7		18.3 %	\$ 1,172.4		19.3 %	\$ 1,148.4		18.6 %
Transfer and disposal costs	159.3		7.8	166.9		7.9	460.3		7.6	483.2		7.8
Maintenance and repairs	175.1		8.5	166.0		7.8	511.7		8.4	471.4		7.6
Transportation and subcontract costs	108.4		5.3	118.2		5.6	324.5		5.3	330.2		5.4
Fuel	132.3		6.5	133.3		6.3	395.5		6.5	388.2		6.3
Franchise fees and taxes	102.6		5.0	103.7		4.9	302.2		4.9	296.2		4.8
Landfill operating costs	70.6		3.4	34.0		1.6	129.6		2.1	92.9		1.5
Risk management	44.3		2.2	39.9		1.9	132.0		2.2	129.9		2.1
Cost of goods sold	25.3		1.3	41.8		2.0	89.9		1.5	113.8		1.9
Other	69.6		3.4	68.5		3.2	204.1		3.3	203.3		3.3
<b>Total cost of operations</b>	<b>\$ 1,280.5</b>		<b>62.6 %</b>	<b>\$ 1,260.0</b>		<b>59.5 %</b>	<b>\$ 3,722.2</b>		<b>61.1 %</b>	<b>\$ 3,657.5</b>		<b>59.3 %</b>

The cost categories shown above may change from time to time and may not be comparable to similarly titled categories used by other companies. As such, you should take care when comparing our cost of operations by cost component to that of other companies.

Our cost of operations increased \$20.5 million and \$64.7 million or, as a percentage of revenue 3.1% and 1.8%, respectively,

for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 versus the comparable 2011 periods, primarily as a result of the following:

- Labor and related benefits increased due to wage increases during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 versus the comparable 2011 periods. As a percentage of revenue, labor and related benefits were negatively impacted by the relative mix of higher collection revenue and lower recycling revenue versus the comparable 2011 periods.
- Maintenance and repairs expense increased due to the increased cost of tires and costs associated with our fleet maintenance initiatives as well as container refurbishment expenses.
- Our fuel costs in aggregate dollars decreased \$1.0 million during the three months ended September 30, 2012 versus the comparable 2011 period due to lower fuel consumption levels. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, our fuel costs in aggregate dollars and as a percentage revenue increased \$7.3 million and 0.2% versus the comparable 2011 period primarily due to higher prices. Average fuel costs per gallon for the three months ended September 30, 2012 were \$3.94 versus \$3.87 for the comparable 2011 period, an increase of \$0.07 or 1.8%. Average fuel costs per gallon for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 were \$3.95 versus \$3.84 for the comparable 2011 period, an increase of \$0.11 or 2.9%.

At current consumption levels, a ten-cent change in the price of diesel fuel changes our fuel costs by approximately \$14 million on an annual basis. Offsetting these changes in fuel expense would be changes in our fuel recovery fee charged to our customers. At current participation rates, a ten-cent change in the price of diesel fuel changes our fuel recovery fee by approximately \$9 million.

- Franchise fees and taxes increased during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 primarily due to the acquisition of businesses in franchise markets.
- Landfill operating expenses in aggregate dollars and as a percentage of revenue increased \$36.6 million and 1.8% and \$36.7 million and 0.6%, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 versus the comparable 2011 periods, primarily due to \$37.1 million of remediation charge we recorded in connection with environmental conditions at our closed disposal facility in Missouri.

These increases in costs were partially offset by:

- Transfer and disposal costs decreased during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 versus the comparable 2011 periods, primarily due to lower disposal prices and lower volumes disposed at third party sites. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, approximately 67% of the total waste volume we collected was disposed at landfill sites that we own or operate (internalization), versus 66% for the comparable 2011 periods.
- Transportation and subcontract costs decreased during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 versus the comparable 2011 periods, primarily due to the loss of a large national accounts contract.
- Cost of goods sold in aggregate dollars and as a percentage of revenue decreased \$16.5 million and 0.7% and \$23.9 million and 0.4%, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 versus the comparable 2011 periods, primarily due to a decline in market value of recycled commodities and the loss of a large national accounts contract offset by an increase in the volume of commodities processed.

**Depreciation, Amortization and Depletion of Property and Equipment**

The following table summarizes depreciation, amortization and depletion of property and equipment for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 (in millions of dollars and as a percentage of revenue):

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2012		2011		2012		2011	
Depreciation and amortization of property and equipment	\$ 130.3	6.4 %	\$ 128.3	6.0 %	\$ 387.9	6.4 %	\$ 384.3	6.2 %
Landfill depletion and amortization	55.8	2.7	67.2	3.2	191.3	3.1	188.0	3.1
Depreciation, amortization and depletion expense	\$ 186.1	9.1 %	\$ 195.5	9.2 %	\$ 579.2	9.5 %	\$ 572.3	9.3 %

Landfill depletion and amortization expense decreased \$11.4 million, or as a percentage of revenue, 0.5%, for the three months

ended September 30, 2012 versus the comparable 2011 period, primarily due to favorable adjustments to landfill depletion and amortization expense for asset retirement obligations of \$10.2 million recorded during the three months ended September 30, 2012 versus favorable adjustments of \$3.6 million recorded during the comparable 2011 period. The increase in favorable adjustments is due to an increase in deemed airspace at certain of our active solid waste landfills. Landfill depletion and amortization expense, in aggregate dollars, increased \$3.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 versus the comparable 2011 period. The favorable adjustment recorded during the three months ended September 30, 2012 was more than offset by unfavorable asset retirement obligation adjustments of \$6.2 million during the first half of 2012 and the favorable adjustments of \$7.4 million during the first half of 2011.

**Amortization of Other Intangible and Other Assets**

Amortization of intangible and other assets was \$17.4 million and \$52.9 million or, as a percentage of revenue, 0.9% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, versus \$19.5 million and \$57.1 million or, as a percentage of revenue, 0.9% for the comparable 2011 periods. Our other intangible assets primarily relate to customer lists, franchise agreements, municipal contracts and agreements, trade names and, to a lesser extent, non-compete agreements. Amortization of intangible and other assets decreased for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 primarily due to municipal agreement intangibles acquired from Allied that are fully amortized.

**Accretion Expenses**

Accretion expenses were \$19.7 million and \$59.1 million or, as a percentage of revenue, 0.9% and 1.0% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, versus \$19.4 million and \$58.6 million or, as a percentage of revenue, 0.9% and 1.0% for the comparable 2011 periods. The amounts have remained relatively unchanged as our asset retirement obligations remained relatively consistent period over period.

**Selling, General and Administrative Expenses**

Selling, general and administrative expenses include salaries, health and welfare benefits and incentive compensation for corporate and field general management, field support functions, sales force, accounting and finance, legal, management information systems and clerical and administrative departments. Other expenses include rent and office costs, fees for professional services provided by third parties, legal settlements, marketing, investor and community relations services, directors' and officers' insurance, general employee relocation, travel, entertainment and bank charges.

The following table summarizes our selling, general and administrative expenses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 (in millions of dollars and as a percentage of revenue):

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2012		2011		2012		2011	
Salaries	\$ 120.5	5.9 %	\$ 133.7	6.3 %	\$ 408.3	6.7 %	\$ 399.5	6.5 %
Provision for doubtful accounts	8.0	0.4	4.8	0.2	20.8	0.3	10.9	0.2
Other	65.3	3.2	69.0	3.3	184.4	3.1	201.1	3.2
Total selling, general and administrative expenses	<u>\$ 193.8</u>	<u>9.5 %</u>	<u>\$ 207.5</u>	<u>9.8 %</u>	<u>\$ 613.5</u>	<u>10.1 %</u>	<u>\$ 611.5</u>	<u>9.9 %</u>

The cost categories shown above may change from time to time and may not be comparable to similarly titled categories used by other companies. As such, you should take care when comparing our selling, general and administrative expenses by cost component to those of other companies.

Our selling, general and administrative expenses decreased \$13.7 million or, as a percentage of revenue, 0.3% for the three months ended September 30, 2012 versus the comparable 2011 period. This decrease is primarily due to the reversal of accruals for management incentive pay due to our revised financial expectations partially offset by an increase in unrecoverable amounts from certain customers.

Our selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$2.0 million or, as a percentage of revenue, 0.2% for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 versus the comparable 2011 period. This increase is primarily due to wage increases and the expansion of our sales team in the second half of 2011 partially offset by lower management incentive pay. Provision for doubtful accounts also increased due to an increase in unrecoverable amounts from certain customers and the recovery during 2011 of accounts previously written-off.

**Negotiation and withdrawal costs - Central States**

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, we incurred costs related to the negotiation of collective bargaining agreements under which we have obligations to contribute to the Central States, Southeast and Southwest Areas Pension Fund (Central States or the Fund). We expect to incur additional costs of this type in the fourth quarter of 2012 and in 2013. Additionally, during the three months ended September 30, 2012, we recorded a charge to earnings of approximately \$31 million for our partial withdrawal liability from the Fund.

**Loss (Gain) on Disposition of Assets and Impairments, Net**

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, we recorded a net loss (gain) on disposition of assets and impairments of \$0.2 million and \$(3.4) million, respectively, primarily related to divestitures in our Eastern Region. Proceeds from dispositions of solid waste assets were \$9.6 million and \$14.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, we recorded a net loss on disposition of assets and impairments of \$5.8 million and \$24.8 million, respectively. We disposed of businesses in three markets in our Southern Region during the three months ended June 30, 2011, resulting in a gain of \$17.1 million. In connection with the disposition of these businesses, we closed a landfill site resulting in an asset impairment charge of \$28.8 million for the remaining landfill assets and the acceleration of capping, closure and post-closure costs. Separately, during the three months ended June 30, 2011 we recorded asset impairments of \$7.2 million for expected losses on the divestiture of certain businesses and related goodwill in our Western Region. These assets were subsequently sold in the third quarter of 2011 resulting in no further loss.

**Interest Expense**

The following table provides the components of interest expense, including accretion of debt discounts and accretion primarily associated with environmental and self-funded risk insurance liabilities assumed in the acquisition of Allied, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 (in millions):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Interest expense on debt and capital lease obligations	\$ 82.1	\$ 93.1	\$ 256.2	\$ 282.6
Accretion of debt discounts	1.8	4.8	10.5	20.9
Accretion of environmental and self-funded risk reserves	11.9	12.7	35.7	37.2
Less: capitalized interest	(2.6)	(2.3)	(6.1)	(5.3)
<b>Total interest expense</b>	<b>\$ 93.2</b>	<b>\$ 108.3</b>	<b>\$ 296.3</b>	<b>\$ 335.4</b>

The decrease in interest expense and accretion of debt discounts during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 versus the comparable 2011 periods is primarily due to refinancing certain of our higher interest rate debt in 2012 and 2011. Cash paid for interest was \$256.0 million and \$295.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The debt we assumed from Allied was recorded at fair value as of December 5, 2008. We recorded a discount of \$624.3 million that is accreted to interest expense over the applicable terms of the related debt instruments or written-off upon refinancing. The remaining unamortized discounts as of September 30, 2012 on the outstanding debt assumed from Allied are as follows (in millions):

	Remaining Discount	Expected Accretion Over the Next Twelve Months
\$99.5 million 9.250% debentures due May 2021	\$ 1.9	\$ 0.1
\$360.0 million 7.400% debentures due September 2035	41.6	0.5
Other, maturing 2014	0.5	0.4
Total	<u>\$ 44.0</u>	<u>\$ 1.0</u>

### Loss on Extinguishment of Debt

The following table summarizes the refinancing transactions that resulted in cash paid for premiums and professional fees to repurchase outstanding debt as well as the non-cash write-off of unamortized debt discounts and deferred issuance costs during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011:

	Quarter	Principal Repaid	Cash Paid in Loss on Extinguishment of Debt	Non-cash Loss on Extinguishment of Debt	Total Loss on Extinguishment of Debt
<b>2012:</b>					
Amendments to Credit Facilities	Second	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 1.5	\$ 1.5
\$750.0 million 6.875% senior notes due June 2017	Second	750.0	25.8	71.0	96.8
Tax-exempt financings	Second	71.9	—	11.9	11.9
Ineffective portion of interest rate lock settlements	Second	—	0.1	—	0.1
Tax exempt financings	Third	22.1	—	2.3	2.3
Loss on extinguishment of debt for the nine months ended September 30, 2012			<u>\$ 25.9</u>	<u>\$ 86.7</u>	<u>\$ 112.6</u>
<b>2011:</b>					
\$99.5 million 9.250% debentures due May 2021	First	\$ 5.0	\$ 1.5	\$ 0.3	\$ 1.8
Amendments to Credit Facilities	Second	—	—	1.7	1.7
\$600.0 million 7.125% senior notes due May 2016	Second	600.0	21.4	61.3	82.7
\$99.5 million 9.250% debentures due May 2021	Second	59.2	22.7	3.5	26.2
\$360.0 million 7.400% debentures due September 2035	Second	182.7	41.9	46.7	88.6
Ineffective portion of interest rate lock settlements	Second	—	0.3	—	0.3
\$360.0 million 7.400% debentures due September 2035	Third	12.0	2.8	3.2	6.0
Loss on extinguishment of debt for the nine months ended September 30, 2011			<u>\$ 90.6</u>	<u>\$ 116.7</u>	<u>\$ 207.3</u>

See also our "Financial Condition" section of this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

### Income Taxes

Our effective tax rate, exclusive of noncontrolling interests, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 was 31.5% and 28.8%, respectively. The effective tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2012 was favorably affected by the realization of additional federal and state credits on the Company's 2011 tax returns, lower state rates due to changes in estimates and adjustments to deferred taxes related to disposition of assets. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, our effective tax rate was, in addition to the matters already discussed, favorably impacted by the second quarter resolution of Allied's 2004 - 2008 tax years at the IRS appeals division, legal entity restructuring completed during the second quarter and a change in estimated non-deductible penalties relating to certain legal settlements. We record interim income tax expense based

upon our anticipated full year effective income tax rate.

Income taxes paid, net of refunds received, were \$169.0 million and \$131.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

## Reportable Segments

Our operations are managed and reviewed through four geographic regions that we designate as our reportable segments. Summarized financial information concerning our reportable segments for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 is shown in the following table (in millions of dollars and as a percentage of revenue):

	Net Revenue	Depreciation, Amortization, Depletion and Accretion Before Adjustments for Asset Retirement Obligations	Adjustments to Amortization Expense for Asset Retirement Obligations	Depreciation, Amortization, Depletion and Accretion	Gain (Loss) on Disposition of Assets, Net and Asset Impairment	Operating Income (Loss)	Operating Margin
<b>Three Months Ended September 30, 2012:</b>							
Eastern	\$ 515.5	\$ 53.2	\$ (7.6)	\$ 45.6	\$ (0.1)	\$ 111.9	21.7 %
Midwestern	449.7	52.4	(2.1)	50.3	(0.1)	89.1	19.8
Southern	515.2	58.5	—	58.5	—	103.6	20.1
Western	546.3	56.4	(0.6)	55.8	—	114.9	21.0
Corporate entities	20.2	12.9	0.1	13.0	—	(101.6)	—
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 2,046.9</b>	<b>\$ 233.4</b>	<b>\$ (10.2)</b>	<b>\$ 223.2</b>	<b>\$ (0.2)</b>	<b>\$ 317.9</b>	<b>15.5 %</b>
<b>Three Months Ended September 30, 2011:</b>							
Eastern	\$ 543.0	\$ 55.0	\$ (2.3)	\$ 52.7	\$ (5.3)	\$ 121.3	22.3 %
Midwestern	474.8	55.0	(0.4)	54.6	0.4	101.2	21.3
Southern	518.8	58.1	(0.5)	57.6	(0.2)	118.6	22.9
Western	553.6	57.1	(0.4)	56.7	0.5	125.7	22.7
Corporate entities	26.0	12.8	—	12.8	(1.2)	(58.3)	—
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 2,116.2</b>	<b>\$ 238.0</b>	<b>\$ (3.6)</b>	<b>\$ 234.4</b>	<b>\$ (5.8)</b>	<b>\$ 408.5</b>	<b>19.3 %</b>
	Net Revenue	Depreciation, Amortization, Depletion and Accretion Before Adjustments for Asset Retirement Obligations	Adjustments to Amortization Expense for Asset Retirement Obligations	Depreciation, Amortization, Depletion and Accretion	Gain (Loss) on Disposition of Assets, Net and Asset Impairment	Operating Income (Loss)	Operating Margin
<b>Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012:</b>							
Eastern	\$ 1,545.5	\$ 157.2	\$ (7.9)	\$ 149.3	\$ 3.0	\$ 328.1	21.2 %
Midwestern	1,331.9	157.2	(2.1)	155.1	(0.1)	253.2	19.0
Southern	1,543.8	173.9	(0.3)	173.6	0.5	323.7	21.0
Western	1,600.4	165.2	(0.6)	164.6	—	327.9	20.5
Corporate entities	68.3	38.6	10.0	48.6	—	(201.1)	—
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 6,089.9</b>	<b>\$ 692.1</b>	<b>\$ (0.9)</b>	<b>\$ 691.2</b>	<b>\$ 3.4</b>	<b>\$ 1,031.8</b>	<b>16.9 %</b>
<b>Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011:</b>							
Eastern	\$ 1,581.5	\$ 160.7	\$ (9.0)	\$ 151.7	\$ (8.9)	\$ 375.7	23.8 %
Midwestern	1,360.4	161.4	(0.4)	161.0	(0.2)	278.0	20.4
Southern	1,527.6	170.2	(0.6)	169.6	(11.9)	348.5	22.8
Western	1,626.3	168.6	(0.9)	167.7	(4.9)	366.6	22.5
Corporate entities	71.9	38.0	—	38.0	1.1	(182.9)	—
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 6,167.7</b>	<b>\$ 698.9</b>	<b>\$ (10.9)</b>	<b>\$ 688.0</b>	<b>\$ (24.8)</b>	<b>\$ 1,185.9</b>	<b>19.2 %</b>

Corporate entities include legal, tax, treasury, information technology, risk management, human resources, corporate accounts, closed landfills and other typical administrative functions. National Accounts revenue included in corporate entities represents the portion of revenue generated from nationwide contracts in markets outside our operating areas, and, as such, the associated waste handling services are subcontracted to local operators. Consequently, substantially all of this revenue is offset with related subcontract costs, which are recorded in cost of operations.

Significant changes in the revenue and operating margins of our reportable segments comparing the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 with the comparable 2011 periods are discussed in the following paragraphs. The results of our reportable segments affected by the disposition of certain assets and liabilities in the normal course of business are noted below where significant.

### ***Eastern Region***

Revenue for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 declined due primarily to declines in volume in our collection and transfer station lines of business, coupled with lower recycling commodity revenue and price decreases in our collection line of business. The volume declines were primarily due to the loss of a large national accounts contract and the loss of certain disposal contracts. These decreases were partially offset by an increase in volume in our landfill line of business for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and price increases in the landfill and transfer station lines of business for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, operating margins were 21.7% and 21.2%, respectively, versus 22.3% and 23.8% for the comparable 2011 periods. The decrease in operating margins is due primarily to higher labor, environmental, repair and maintenance, sales and marketing costs as well as legal settlements. These unfavorable items were partially offset by favorable transfer and disposal costs primarily due to lower disposal prices and volumes disposed at third party sites and favorable adjustments to landfill depletion and amortization expense for asset retirement obligations of \$7.6 million recorded during the current quarter due to an increase in deemed airspace at certain of our landfills. In addition, we experienced lower cost of goods sold primarily due to a decline in market value of recycled commodities. Operating margins for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 were also unfavorably impacted due to higher fuel costs versus the comparable 2011 period.

### ***Midwestern Region***

Revenue for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 declined due to a decline in volumes in our disposal and residential collection lines of business and a decline in recycling commodity revenue. The volume declines were primarily due to the loss of a large national accounts contract and special waste event work not recurring in the current period. These decreases were partially offset by an increase in core price growth in all lines of business and volume increases in our commercial collection line of business for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, operating margins were 19.8% and 19.0%, respectively, versus 21.3% and 20.4% for the comparable 2011 periods. Operating margins also decreased due to increased labor costs in part due to certain labor relations activities. Higher landfill operating expense, repair and maintenance, and sales and marketing expenses also contributed to lower operating margins. These unfavorable items were partially offset by decreased legal settlements and lower cost of goods sold primarily due to a decline in market value of recycled commodities. In addition, we recorded favorable adjustments to landfill depletion and amortization expense for asset retirement obligations of \$2.1 million during the current quarter versus \$0.4 million in the comparable 2011 periods.

### ***Southern Region***

Revenue for the three months ended September 30, 2012 declined due primarily to decreases in volume in our landfill and industrial collection lines of business coupled with a decrease in recycling commodity revenue. These decreases were partially offset by core price growth in all lines of business, except for residential collection. Revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 increased as it benefited from core price growth in all lines of business except for commercial and residential collection and increased volumes in all lines of business except transfer station. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in recycling commodity revenue.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, operating margins were 20.1% and 21.0%, respectively, versus 22.9% and 22.8% for the comparable 2011 periods. Margins were negatively impacted by higher labor, transportation, fuel, landfill operating, repair and maintenance, sales and marketing, bad debt and settlement expenses. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, labor increased due to a new recycling center and acquisitions in the market. These unfavorable items were partially offset by lower disposal costs primarily due to lower disposal prices and lower volumes disposed at third party



sites coupled with lower cost of goods sold resulting from a decline in market value of recycled commodities.

Operating margins for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 also were unfavorably impacted by an impairment charge related to the disposition of businesses in three markets during the second quarter of 2011. In connection with the disposition of these businesses, we closed a landfill site resulting in an asset impairment charge of \$28.8 million for the remaining landfill assets and the acceleration of capping, closure and post-closure costs partially offset by a gain of \$17.1 million.

### Western Region

Revenue for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 declined due to a decline in volumes in our residential collection, landfill and transfer station lines of business as well as lower recycling commodity revenue. The volume declines were primarily due to competitive disposal pricing and special waste event work not recurring in the current period. These decreases were partially offset by an increase in core price growth in all lines of business and an increase in volumes in our commercial collection line of business.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, operating margins were 21.0% and 20.5%, respectively, versus 22.7% and 22.5% for the comparable 2011 periods. The decrease in operating margins is due primarily to the decrease in special waste event work not recurring in 2012. Margins also were negatively impacted by higher franchise fees due to acquisitions and new franchise contracts, higher labor, disposal, repair and maintenance, legal settlements and sales and marketing expenses. We also experienced higher cost of goods sold as a result of increases in inbound commodity volumes partially offset by decreases in commodity prices. During the three months ended June 30, 2011, we recorded a \$7.2 million charge related to expected losses from the divestiture of a business and the write-off of goodwill associated with that business.

### Corporate Entities

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, the corporate entities had operating losses of \$101.6 million and \$201.1 million, respectively, versus \$58.3 million and \$182.9 million for the comparable 2011 periods. Operating losses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 were favorably impacted by lower management incentive pay and consulting expenses. These favorable adjustments were offset by unfavorable remediation adjustments due to \$37.1 million charge recorded in connection with environmental conditions at our closed disposal facility in Missouri and adjustments to landfill amortization expense totaling \$10.0 million for asset retirement obligations at other closed landfills for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. In addition, during the current quarter we recorded a charge to earnings of \$31 million for our partial withdrawal from Central States with respect to union employees at our Danville, Illinois and Dayton, Ohio facilities. Corporate expenses also include general and administrative salary and benefit related expenses, legal and professional fees as well as other expenses.

### Landfill and Environmental Matters

#### Available Airspace

The following table reflects landfill airspace activity for active landfills we owned or operated during the nine months ended September 30, 2012:

	Balance as of December 31, 2011	New Expansions Undertaken	Permits Granted, Net of Closures	Airspace Consumed	Changes in Engineering Estimates	Balance as of September 30, 2012
<b>Cubic yards (in millions):</b>						
Permitted airspace	4,621.8		23.3	(55.8)	(0.4)	4,588.9
Probable expansion airspace	166.5	113.1	(17.2)	—	—	262.4
<b>Total cubic yards (in millions)</b>	<b>4,788.3</b>	<b>113.1</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>(55.8)</b>	<b>(0.4)</b>	<b>4,851.3</b>
<b>Number of sites:</b>						
Permitted airspace	191					191
Probable expansion airspace	8	4	(1)			11

As of September 30, 2012, we owned or operated 191 active solid waste landfills with total available disposal capacity estimated to be 4.9 billion in-place cubic yards. Total available disposal capacity represents the sum of estimated permitted airspace plus an estimate of probable expansion airspace. These estimates are developed at least annually by engineers utilizing

information provided by annual aerial surveys. As of September 30, 2012, total available disposal capacity is estimated to be 4.6 billion in-place cubic yards of permitted airspace plus 0.3 billion in-place cubic yards of probable expansion airspace. Before an expansion area is deemed to be probable expansion airspace and, therefore, included in our calculation of total available disposal capacity, it must meet all of our expansion criteria. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, total available airspace increased by 63.0 million cubic yards, net, primarily due to new expansions offset by airspace consumed.

As of September 30, 2012, eleven of our landfills met all of our criteria for including their probable expansion airspace in our total available disposal capacity. At projected annual volumes, these landfills have an estimated remaining average life of 52 years, including probable expansion airspace. The average estimated remaining life of all of our landfills is 61 years. We have other expansion opportunities that are not included in our total available airspace because they do not meet all of our criteria to be deemed probable expansion airspace.

### **Final Capping, Closure and Post-Closure Costs**

As of September 30, 2012, accrued final capping, closure and post-closure costs were \$1,058.7 million, of which \$98.3 million is current and \$960.4 million is long-term as reflected in our unaudited consolidated balance sheet in accrued landfill costs.

### **Environmental Remediation Liabilities**

The following is a discussion of certain of our significant remediation matters:

*Countywide Landfill.* In September 2009, Republic Services of Ohio II, LLC entered into Final Findings and Orders with the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency that require us to implement a comprehensive operation and maintenance program to manage the remediation area at the Countywide Recycling and Disposal Facility (Countywide). The remediation liability for the Countywide Landfill recorded as of September 30, 2012 is \$53.3 million, of which \$6.0 million is expected to be paid during the next twelve months. We believe the reasonably possible range of loss for remediation costs is \$51 million to \$72 million.

*Congress Landfill.* In August 2010, Congress Development Company agreed with the State of Illinois to have a Final Consent Order (Final Order) entered by the Circuit Court of Illinois, Cook County. Pursuant to the Final Order, we have agreed to continue to implement certain remedial activities at the Congress Landfill. The remediation liability for Congress recorded as of September 30, 2012 is \$83.6 million, of which \$7.8 million is expected to be paid during the next twelve months. We believe the reasonably possible range of loss for remediation costs is \$53 million to \$153 million.

It is reasonably possible that we will need to adjust the liabilities noted above to reflect the effects of new or additional information, to the extent that such information impacts the costs, timing or duration of the required actions. Future changes in our estimates of the costs, timing or duration of the required actions could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

### **Investment in Landfills**

The following tables reflect changes in our investment in landfills for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and the future expected investment as of September 30, 2012 (in millions):

	Balance as of December 31, 2011	Capital Additions	Retirements	Acquisitions, Net of Divestitures	Non-cash Additions for Asset Retirement Obligations	Impairments, Transfers and Other Adjustments	Adjustments for Asset Retirement Obligations	Balance as of September 30, 2012
Non-depletable landfill land	\$ 161.8	\$ 3.2	\$ (0.3)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 1.3	\$ —	\$ 166.0
Landfill development costs	4,763.3	7.5	—	(0.3)	25.8	65.2	(7.8)	4,853.7
Construction-in-progress - landfill	187.3	206.1	—	—	—	(163.8)	—	229.6
Accumulated depletion and amortization	(1,735.7)	(192.2)	—	0.3	—	96.5	0.8	(1,830.3)
Net investment in landfill land and development costs	<u>\$ 3,376.7</u>	<u>\$ 24.6</u>	<u>\$ (0.3)</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 25.8</u>	<u>\$ (0.8)</u>	<u>\$ (7.0)</u>	<u>\$ 3,419.0</u>

	Balance as of September 30, 2012	Expected Future Investment	Total Expected Investment
Non-depletable landfill land	\$ 166.0	—	\$ 166.0
Landfill development costs	4,853.7	6,577.5	11,431.2
Construction-in-progress - landfill	229.6	—	229.6
Accumulated depletion and amortization	(1,830.3)	—	(1,830.3)
Net investment in landfill land and development costs	<u>\$ 3,419.0</u>	<u>\$ 6,577.5</u>	<u>\$ 9,996.5</u>

The following table reflects our net investment in our landfills, excluding non-depletable land, and our depletion, amortization and accretion expense as of and for the nine months ended September 30:

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011
Number of landfills owned or operated	191	192
Net investment, excluding non-depletable land (in millions)	\$ 3,253.0	\$ 3,209.2
Total estimated available disposal capacity (in millions of cubic yards)	4,851.3	4,788.3
Net investment per cubic yard	\$ 0.67	\$ 0.67
Landfill depletion and amortization expense (in millions)	\$ 191.3	\$ 188.0
Accretion expense (in millions)	59.1	58.6
	<u>\$ 250.4</u>	<u>\$ 246.6</u>
Airspace consumed (in millions of cubic yards)	55.8	60.1
Depletion, amortization and accretion expense per cubic yard of airspace	<u>\$ 4.49</u>	<u>\$ 4.10</u>

The increase in the investment in our landfills, in aggregate dollars, is primarily due to new expansions and acquisitions. The increase in the depletion, amortization and accretion expense per cubic yard of airspace consumed is primarily due to unfavorable adjustments to landfill amortization expense for asset retirement obligations at closed landfills.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, our weighted-average compaction rate was approximately 1,900 pounds per cubic yard based on our three-year historical moving average as compared to 1,800 pounds per cubic yard for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. Our compaction rates may improve as a result of the settlement and decomposition of waste.

As of September 30, 2012, we expect to spend an estimated additional \$6.6 billion on existing landfills, primarily related to cell construction and environmental structures, over their expected remaining lives. Our total expected investment, excluding non-depletable land, estimated to be \$9.8 billion or \$2.03 per cubic yard, is used in determining our depletion and amortization expense based on airspace consumed using the units-of-consumption method.

#### Selected Balance Sheet Accounts

The following tables reflect the activity in our allowance for doubtful accounts, final capping, closure, post-closure and remediation liabilities, and accrued self-insurance during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 (in millions):

	Allowance for Doubtful Accounts	Final Capping, Closure and Post-Closure	Remediation	Self- Insurance
Balance, December 31, 2011	\$ 48.1	\$ 1,037.0	\$ 543.7	\$ 418.3
Non-cash additions	—	25.8	—	—
Acquisitions/divestitures and other adjustments	—	(1.4)	—	—
Asset retirement obligation adjustments	—	(7.8)	—	—
Accretion expense	—	59.1	24.0	3.3
Additions charged to expense	20.8	—	28.1	286.3
Payments or usage	(24.1)	(54.0)	(49.5)	(282.2)
Balance, September 30, 2012	44.8	1,058.7	546.3	425.7
Less: current portion	(44.8)	(98.3)	(87.1)	(137.6)
Long-term portion	\$ —	\$ 960.4	\$ 459.2	\$ 288.1

	Allowance for Doubtful Accounts	Final Capping, Closure and Post-Closure	Remediation	Self- Insurance
Balance, December 31, 2010	\$ 50.9	\$ 1,046.5	\$ 552.1	\$ 417.2
Non-cash additions	—	25.5	—	—
Acquisition and other adjustments	—	15.1	—	—
Asset retirement obligation adjustments	—	(23.6)	—	—
Accretion expense	—	58.6	24.8	4.4
Additions charged to expense	10.9	—	4.7	275.0
Payments or usage	(15.7)	(73.3)	(26.7)	(276.4)
Balance, September 30, 2011	46.1	1,048.8	554.9	420.2
Less: Current portion	(46.1)	(104.1)	(86.1)	(119.1)
Long-term portion	\$ —	\$ 944.7	\$ 468.8	\$ 301.1

As of September 30, 2012, accounts receivable were \$854.2 million, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$44.8 million, resulting in days sales outstanding of 38, or 24 days net of deferred revenue. In addition, at September 30, 2012, our accounts receivable in excess of 90 days old totaled \$52.9 million, or 5.9% of gross receivables outstanding.

### Property and Equipment

The following tables reflect the activity in our property and equipment accounts for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 (in millions):

	Gross Property and Equipment							
	Balance as of December 31, 2011	Capital Additions	Retirements	Acquisitions, Net of Divestitures	Non-cash Additions for Asset Retirement Obligations	Adjustments for Asset Retirement Obligations	Impairments, Transfers and Other Adjustments	Balance as of September 30, 2012
Other land	\$ 375.1	\$ —	\$ (1.4)	\$ 3.6	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 377.3
Non-depletable landfill land	161.8	3.2	(0.3)	—	—	—	1.3	166.0
Landfill development costs	4,763.3	7.5	—	(0.3)	25.8	(7.8)	65.2	4,853.7
Vehicles and equipment	4,515.1	366.9	(63.5)	6.7	—	—	34.8	4,860.0
Buildings and improvements	802.8	20.9	(13.3)	7.1	—	—	19.9	837.4
Construction-in-progress - landfill	187.3	206.1	—	—	—	—	(163.8)	229.6
Construction-in-progress - other	47.3	69.1	—	—	—	—	(54.7)	61.7
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 10,852.7</b>	<b>\$ 673.7</b>	<b>\$ (78.5)</b>	<b>\$ 17.1</b>	<b>\$ 25.8</b>	<b>\$ (7.8)</b>	<b>\$ (97.3)</b>	<b>\$ 11,385.7</b>

	Accumulated Depreciation, Amortization and Depletion							
	Balance as of December 31, 2011	Additions Charged to Expense	Retirements	Acquisitions, Net of Divestitures	Adjustments for Asset Retirement Obligations	Impairments, Transfers and Other Adjustments	Balance as of September 30, 2012	
Landfill development costs	\$ (1,735.7)	\$ (192.2)	\$ —	\$ 0.3	\$ 0.8	\$ 96.5	\$ (1,830.3)	
Vehicles and equipment	(2,119.1)	(362.4)	59.0	1.6	—	(0.1)	(2,421.0)	
Buildings and improvements	(205.6)	(27.5)	1.6	0.3	—	—	(231.2)	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ (4,060.4)</b>	<b>\$ (582.1)</b>	<b>\$ 60.6</b>	<b>\$ 2.2</b>	<b>\$ 0.8</b>	<b>\$ 96.4</b>	<b>\$ (4,482.5)</b>	

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

The major components of changes in cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 are discussed in the following paragraphs. The following table summarizes our cash flow from operating activities, investing activities and financing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 (in millions):

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 1,056.7	\$ 1,286.8
Net cash used in investing activities	(692.2)	(689.2)
Net cash used in financing activities	(356.8)	(613.3)

### Cash Flows Provided by Operating Activities

The most significant items affecting the comparison of our operating cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 are summarized below:

*Changes in assets and liabilities, net of effects from business acquisitions and divestitures.* Changes in assets and liabilities, net of effects from business acquisitions and divestitures, decreased our cash flow from operations by \$256.2 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 versus a decrease of \$74.9 million in the comparable 2011 period, primarily as a result of the following:

- Our accounts receivable, exclusive of the change in allowance for doubtful accounts, increased \$47.3 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 due to timing of billings net of collections as compared to an \$80.7 million increase in accounts receivable, exclusive of the change in allowance for doubtful accounts during the comparable 2011 period.
- At December 31, 2011 and 2010, we recorded a tax receivable of \$68.4 million and \$69.8 million, respectively

primarily due to the effects of current deductions for property placed into service during the fourth quarter, referred to as "Bonus Depreciation." We received refunds of approximately \$50 million in each of the first quarters of 2012 and 2011. As of September 30, 2012 and 2011, we recorded a tax receivable of \$65.5 million and \$32.8 million, respectively, related to IRS settlements and overpayments to federal and state jurisdictions. This fluctuation caused a \$34.1 million decrease in working capital during the nine month period ended September 30, 2012 as compared to the same period in 2011.

- Income taxes paid, net of refunds received, were \$169.0 million and \$131.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.
- During the first quarter of 2012, we paid synergy incentive plan bonuses of approximately \$68 million.
- Cash paid for environmental remediation was \$22.8 million higher during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 than the comparable 2011 period primarily due to remediation work performed at one of our landfill sites in our Western Region.
- On December 29, 2011, we signed a settlement agreement with the City of Chicago under which we paid the City \$11.0 million in January 2012. In addition, we paid \$29.5 million to settle the Livingston matter during the nine months ended September 30, 2012. See further discussion in Note 13, *Commitments and Contingencies*.
- Cash paid for interest was \$39.1 million lower during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 than the comparable 2011 period due to refinancing of our higher interest rate debt.

We use cash flows from operations to fund capital expenditures, acquisitions, dividend payments, share repurchases and debt repayments.

### ***Cash Flows Used in Investing Activities***

The most significant items affecting the comparison of our cash flows used in investing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 are summarized below:

*Capital expenditures.* Capital expenditures during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 were \$707.4 million, compared with \$696.1 million in the comparable 2011 period. Property and equipment received during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 were \$673.7 million and \$601.8 million, respectively.

*Cash used in acquisitions.* During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we paid \$73.1 million for acquisitions of collection, recycling and transfer station businesses primarily in our Western and Eastern Regions. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we acquired various solid waste businesses for which we paid \$40.8 million.

*Cash proceeds from divestitures.* During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we divested of a collection business in our Eastern Region and certain assets associated with our rail logistics business for which we received \$9.6 million. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we divested of certain assets in our Southern, Western and Eastern Regions for which we received \$14.2 million.

*Change in restricted cash and marketable securities.* Changes in our restricted cash and marketable securities balances, which are related to the issuance of tax-exempt bonds for our capital needs, collateral for certain of our obligations and amounts held in trust as a guarantee of performance, provided \$54.5 million and \$12.3 million to our investing activities during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Funds received from issuances of tax-exempt bonds are deposited directly into trust accounts by the bonding authority at the time of issuance. As we do not have the ability to use these funds for general operating purposes, they are classified as restricted cash in our consolidated balance sheets. Reimbursements from the trust for qualifying expenditures or for repayments of the related tax-exempt bonds are presented as cash provided by investing activities in our consolidated statements of cash flows. Such reimbursements amounted to \$22.4 million and \$14.4 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we paid \$29.5 million to settle the Livingston matter that was funded through a restricted escrow account.

We intend to finance capital expenditures and acquisitions through cash on hand, cash flows from operations, our various credit facilities, and tax-exempt bonds and other financings. We expect to use primarily cash for future business acquisitions.

### ***Cash Flows Used in Financing Activities***

The most significant items affecting the comparison of our cash flows used in financing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 are summarized below:

*Net debt repayments and borrowings.* Proceeds from notes payable and long term debt were \$86.5 million during the nine

months ended September 30, 2012 versus net proceeds of \$154.8 million in the comparable 2011 period. For a more detailed discussion, see the *Financial Condition* section of this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

*Premiums and fees paid to issue and retire senior notes.* Cash premiums and fees paid in connection with the issuance of our senior notes and tax exempt financings and refinancing of our credit facilities as well as purchasing and retiring certain indebtedness were \$42.7 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 versus \$148.4 in the comparable 2011 period.

*Purchases of common stock for treasury.* In August 2011, our board of directors approved a share repurchase program pursuant to which we may repurchase up to \$750.0 million of our outstanding shares of common stock through December 31, 2013. This authorization is in addition to the \$400.0 million repurchase program authorized in November 2010. From November 2010 to September 30, 2012, we repurchased 24.7 million shares of our stock for \$708.3 million at a weighted average cost per share of \$28.65. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we repurchased 7.6 million shares of our stock for \$207.5 million at a weighted average cost per share of \$27.38.

*Cash dividends paid.* We initiated a quarterly cash dividend in July 2003 and have increased it from time to time thereafter. In July 2012, our board of directors approved an increase in the quarterly dividend to \$0.235 per share. Dividends paid were \$243.4 million and \$227.8 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

## **Financial Condition**

As of September 30, 2012, we had \$74.0 million of cash and cash equivalents and \$132.9 million of restricted cash deposits and restricted marketable securities, including \$54.2 million restricted cash and marketable securities pledged to regulatory agencies and governmental entities as financial guarantees of our performance related to our final capping, closure and post-closure obligations at our landfills and \$75.6 million of restricted cash and marketable securities related to our self-funded insurance obligations.

## **Credit Facilities**

In May 2012, we amended and restated our \$1.25 billion unsecured revolving credit facility due September 2013 (the Amended and Restated Credit Facility) to extend the maturity to May 2017. The Amended and Restated Credit Facility includes a feature that allows us to increase availability, at our option, by an aggregate amount up to \$500 million through increased commitments from existing lenders or the addition of new lenders. At our option, borrowings under the Amended and Restated Credit Facility bear interest at a Base Rate, or a Eurodollar Rate, plus an applicable margin based on our Debt Ratings (all as defined in the agreements).

Contemporaneous with the execution of the Amended and Restated Credit Facility, we entered into Amendment No. 1 to our existing \$1.25 billion unsecured credit facility (the Existing Credit Facility and, together with the Amended and Restated Credit Facility, the Credit Facilities) to reduce the commitments under the Existing Credit Facility to \$1.0 billion and conform certain terms of the Existing Credit Facility to those of the Amended and Restated Credit Facility. Amendment No. 1 does not extend the maturity date under the Existing Credit Facility, which matures in April 2016.

In connection with entering into the Amended and Restated Credit Facility and Amendment No. 1 to the Existing Facility, the guarantees by our subsidiary guarantors with respect to the Amended and Restated Credit Facility and the Existing Credit Facility were released. As a result, the guarantees by our subsidiary guarantors with respect to all of Republic's outstanding senior notes also were automatically released. In addition, the guarantees by all of our subsidiary guarantors (other than Allied Waste Industries, Inc. and Allied Waste North America, Inc.) with respect to the 9.250% debentures and the 7.400% debentures issued by our subsidiary Browning-Ferris Industries, LLC (successor to Browning-Ferris Industries, Inc.) also were automatically released.

A covenant in our Credit Facilities makes it a technical event of default if an ERISA Event (as defined in the agreements) occurs that has resulted or is reasonably expected to result in a liability to us greater than \$25 million (the ERISA Event Limit). During the three months ended September 30, 2012, we recorded a liability of approximately \$31 million in connection with our partial withdrawal from the Central States, Southeast and Southwest Areas Pension Fund, creating a temporary event of default. We promptly reported this to the lenders, and they waived the default on October 15, 2012. On October 29, 2012, we amended our Credit Facilities to (1) except from the ERISA Event of default provision our future incurrence of withdrawal liabilities under listed plans in an amount not to exceed \$250 million and (2) exclude such liabilities from the calculation of EBITDA (as defined in the agreements) used in our covenant calculations. Refer to Note 13, *Commitments and Contingencies*, for our discussion of multiemployer pension plans. With the exception of the ERISA Event issue that has been waived, we were in compliance with all other covenants under our Credit Facilities at September 30, 2012.

As of December 31, 2011, the interest rate for our borrowings under our Credit Facilities was 3.25%. Our Credit Facilities also are subject to facility fees based on applicable rates defined in the agreements and the aggregate commitments, regardless of usage. Availability under our Credit Facilities can be used for working capital, capital expenditures, letters of credit and other general corporate purposes. As of December 31, 2011, we had \$34.4 million of Eurodollar Rate borrowings. As of September 30, 2012, we had no borrowings under our Credit Facilities. We had \$918.9 million and \$950.2 million of letters of credit using availability under our Credit Facilities, leaving \$1,331.1 million and \$1,515.4 million of availability under our Credit Facilities, at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

In March 2012, we entered into a new \$75.0 million uncommitted, unsecured credit facility agreement (the Uncommitted Credit Facility) bearing interest at LIBOR, plus an applicable margin. In July 2012, we amended the Uncommitted Credit Facility to increase the size to \$125.0 million, with all other terms remaining unchanged. As of September 30, 2012, the interest rate for our borrowings under our Uncommitted Credit Facility was 1.20%. Our Uncommitted Credit Facility also is subject to facility fees defined in the agreement, regardless of usage. We can use borrowings under the Uncommitted Credit Facility for working capital and other general corporate purposes. The agreements governing our Uncommitted Credit Facility require us to comply with certain covenants. As of September 30, 2012, we had \$72.2 million of LIBOR borrowings. The Uncommitted Credit Facility may be terminated at any time by either party.

The agreements governing our Credit Facilities require us to comply with certain financial and other covenants. We may pay dividends and repurchase common stock if we are in compliance with these covenants. Compliance with these covenants is a condition for any incremental borrowings under our Credit Facilities and failure to meet these covenants would enable the lenders to require repayment of any outstanding loans (which would adversely affect our liquidity). At September 30, 2012, our EBITDA to interest ratio was 5.87 compared to the 3.00 minimum required by the covenants, and our total debt to EBITDA ratio was 3.02 compared to the 3.50 maximum allowed by the covenants.

EBITDA, which is a non-GAAP measure, is calculated as defined in our Credit Facility agreements. In this context, EBITDA is used solely to provide information regarding the extent to which we are in compliance with debt covenants and is not comparable to EBITDA used by other companies or used by us for other purposes.

#### **Senior Notes and Debentures**

During the three months ended June 30, 2012, we issued \$850.0 million of 3.550% senior notes due 2022 (the 3.550% Notes). The 3.550% Notes are our unsubordinated and unsecured obligations. We used the net proceeds from the 3.550% Notes to fund the redemption of our subsidiary's, Allied Waste of North America, Inc., \$750.0 million 6.875% senior notes maturing in 2017 and for general corporate purposes.



**Tax-Exempt Financings**

As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, we had \$1,097.4 million and \$1,126.4 million, respectively, of fixed and variable rate tax-exempt financings outstanding with maturities ranging from 2012 to 2035. As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the total of the unamortized adjustment to fair value recorded in purchase accounting for the tax-exempt financings assumed from Allied was \$0.5 million and \$15.8 million, respectively, which is being amortized to interest expense over the remaining terms of the debt.

As of September 30, 2012, approximately 80% of our tax-exempt financings are remarketed quarterly, weekly or daily by remarketing agents to effectively maintain a variable yield. Certain of these variable rate tax-exempt financings are credit enhanced with letters of credit having terms in excess of one year issued by banks with investment grade credit ratings. The holders of the bonds can put them back to the remarketing agents at the end of each interest period. To date, the remarketing agents have been able to remarket our variable rate unsecured tax-exempt bonds. These bonds have been classified as long term because of our ability and intent to refinance them using availability under our revolving Credit Facilities, if necessary.

**Intended Uses of Cash**

We intend to use excess cash on hand and cash from operating activities to fund capital expenditures, acquisitions, dividend payments, share repurchases and debt repayments. Debt repayments may include purchases of our outstanding indebtedness in the secondary market or otherwise. We believe that our excess cash, cash from operating activities and our availability to draw from our Credit Facilities provide us with sufficient financial resources to meet our anticipated capital requirements and maturing obligations as they come due.

We may choose to voluntarily retire certain portions of our outstanding debt before their maturity dates using cash from operations or additional borrowings. We also may explore opportunities in capital markets to fund redemptions should market conditions be favorable. Any early extinguishment of debt may result in a charge to earnings in the period in which the debt is repurchased and retired related to premiums paid to effectuate the repurchase and the write off of the relative portion of unamortized note discounts and deferred issuance costs.

As of September 30, 2012, we had \$132.9 million of restricted cash and marketable securities, including \$54.2 million held in trust as a financial guarantee of our performance and collateral for certain of our capping, closure and post-closure obligations and self-funded insurance obligations in the amount of \$75.6 million.

**Credit Rating**

We have received investment grade credit ratings. As of September 30, 2012, our senior debt was rated BBB, Baa3, and BBB by Standard & Poor's Rating Services, Inc., Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and Fitch, Inc., respectively.

**Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

We have no off-balance sheet debt or similar obligations, other than financial assurance instruments and operating leases, that are not classified as debt. We do not guarantee any third-party debt.

**Free Cash Flow**

We define free cash flow, which is not a measure determined in accordance with U.S. GAAP, as cash provided by operating activities less purchases of property and equipment, plus proceeds from sales of property and equipment as presented in our unaudited consolidated statements of cash flows.

The following table calculates our free cash flow for the three and nine months ended September 30 (in millions):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Cash provided by operating activities	\$ 361.7	\$ 491.2	\$ 1,056.7	\$ 1,286.8
Purchases of property and equipment	(244.9)	(214.4)	(707.4)	(696.1)
Proceeds from sales of property and equipment	3.1	7.1	24.5	23.4
Free cash flow	\$ 119.9	\$ 283.9	\$ 373.8	\$ 614.1

For a discussion of the changes in the components of free cash flow, you should read our discussion regarding *Cash Flows Provided By Operating Activities and Cash Flows Used In Investing Activities* contained elsewhere in this Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Purchases of property and equipment as reflected in our consolidated statements of cash flows and as presented in the free cash flow table above represent amounts paid during the period for such expenditures. The following table provides a reconciliation of property and equipment reflected in the unaudited consolidated statements of cash flows to property and equipment received during the three and nine months ended September 30 (in millions):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Purchases of property and equipment per the unaudited consolidated statements of cash flows	\$ 244.9	\$ 214.4	\$ 707.4	\$ 696.1
Adjustments for property and equipment received during the prior period but paid for in the following period, net	(29.2)	(0.2)	(33.7)	(94.3)
Property and equipment received during the period	\$ 215.7	\$ 214.2	\$ 673.7	\$ 601.8

The adjustments noted above do not affect our net change in cash and cash equivalents as reflected in our unaudited consolidated statements of cash flows.

We believe that the presentation of free cash flow provides useful information regarding our recurring cash provided by operating activities after expenditures for property and equipment received, plus proceeds from sales of property and equipment. It also demonstrates our ability to execute our financial strategy, which includes reinvesting in existing capital assets to ensure a high level of customer service, investing in capital assets to facilitate growth in our customer base and services provided, maintaining our investment grade credit rating and minimizing debt, paying cash dividends and repurchasing common stock, and maintaining and improving our market position through business optimization. In addition, free cash flow is a key metric used to determine compensation. The presentation of free cash flow has material limitations. Free cash flow does not represent our cash flow available for discretionary expenditures because it excludes certain expenditures that are required or that we have committed to such as debt service requirements and dividend payments. Our definition of free cash flow may not be comparable to similarly titled measures presented by other companies.

#### Seasonality and Severe Weather

Our operations can be adversely affected by periods of inclement or severe weather, which could increase the volume of waste collected under our existing contracts (without corresponding compensation), delay the collection and disposal of waste, reduce the volume of waste delivered to our disposal sites, or delay the construction or expansion of our landfill sites and other facilities. Our operations also can be favorably affected by severe weather, which could increase the volume of waste in situations where we are able to charge for our additional services.

#### Contingencies

For a description of our commitments and contingencies, see Note 6, *Landfill and Environmental Costs*, Note 8, *Income Taxes*, and Note 13, *Commitments and Contingencies*, to our consolidated financial statements included under Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

#### Critical Accounting Judgments and Estimates

We identified and discussed our critical accounting judgments and estimates in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. Although we believe that our estimates and assumptions are reasonable, they are based upon information available at the time the judgment or estimate is made. Actual results may differ significantly from estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

#### Disclosure Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains certain forward-looking information about us that is intended to be covered by the safe harbor for “forward-looking statements” provided by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements are statements that are not historical facts. Words such as “expect,” “will,” “may,” “anticipate,” “plan,”

“estimate,” “project,” “intend,” “should,” “can,” “likely,” “could,” “outlook” and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. These statements include statements about our plans, strategies and prospects. Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of performance. These statements are based upon the current beliefs and expectations of our management and are subject to risk and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in, or implied or projected by, the forward-looking information and statements. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot assure you that the expectations will prove to be correct. Among the factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the expectations expressed in the forward-looking statements are:

- the impact on us of our substantial indebtedness, including on our ability to obtain financing on acceptable terms to finance our operations and growth strategy and to operate within the limitations imposed by financing arrangements;
- general economic and market conditions, including the current global economic and financial market crisis, inflation and changes in commodity pricing, fuel, labor, risk and health insurance and other variable costs that are generally not within our control, and our exposure to credit and counterparty risk;
- whether our estimates and assumptions concerning our selected balance sheet accounts, income tax accounts, the recoverability of long-lived assets, the depletion and amortization of landfill development costs, accruals for final capping, closure and post-closure costs, available airspace, valuation allowances for accounts receivable, self-insurance, liabilities for potential litigation, claims and assessments, and liabilities for environmental remediation, employee benefit and pension plans, and labor, fuel rates and economic and inflationary trends, turn out to be correct or appropriate;
- competition and demand for services in the solid waste industry;
- price increases to our customers may not be adequate to offset the impact of increased costs, including labor, third-party disposal and fuel, and may cause us to lose volume;
- our ability to manage growth and execute our growth strategy;
- our compliance with, and future changes in, environmental and flow control regulations and our ability to obtain approvals from regulatory agencies in connection with operating and expanding our landfills;
- our ability to retain our investment grade ratings for our debt;
- our dependence on key personnel;
- our dependence on large, long-term collection, transfer and disposal contracts;
- our business is capital intensive and may consume cash in excess of cash flow from operations;
- any exposure to environmental liabilities, to the extent not adequately covered by insurance, could result in substantial expenses;
- risks associated with undisclosed liabilities of acquired businesses;
- risks associated with pending and future legal proceedings, including litigation, audits or investigations brought by or before any governmental body;
- severe weather conditions, which could impair our financial results by causing increased costs, loss of revenue, reduced operational efficiency or disruptions to our operations;
- compliance with existing and future legal and regulatory requirements, including limitations or bans on disposal of certain types of wastes or on the transportation of waste, which could limit our ability to conduct or grow our business, increase our costs to operate or require additional capital expenditures;
- potential increases in our expenses if we are required to provide additional funding to any multi-employer pension plan to which we contribute or if an additional withdrawal event or events occur with respect to Central States Pension Fund or if a withdrawal event occurs with respect to any other multiemployer pension plan to which we contribute;
- the negative impact on our operations of union organizing campaigns, work stoppages or labor shortages;
- the negative effect that trends toward requiring recycling, waste reduction at the source and prohibiting the disposal of certain types of wastes could have on volumes of waste going to landfills;
- changes by the Financial Accounting Standards Board or other accounting regulatory bodies to generally accepted accounting principles or policies; and
- acts of war, riots or terrorism, including the events taking place in the Middle East and the continuing war on

terrorism, as well as actions taken or to be taken by the United States or other governments as a result of further acts or threats of terrorism, and the impact of these acts on economic, financial and social conditions in the United States.

The risks included here are not exhaustive. Refer to “Part I, Item 1A — Risk Factors” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 for further discussion regarding our exposure to risks. Additionally, new risk factors emerge from time to time and it is not possible for us to predict all such risk factors, or to assess the impact such risk factors might have on our business or the extent to which any factor or combination of factors may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. You should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date hereof. Except to the extent required by applicable law or regulation, we undertake no obligation to update or publish revised forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date hereof or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

### **ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.**

#### **Fuel Price Risk**

Fuel costs represent a significant operating expense. When economically practical, we may enter into contracts or engage in other strategies to mitigate market risk. Where appropriate, we have implemented a fuel recovery fee that is designed to recover our fuel costs. While we charge this fee to a majority of our customers, we cannot charge it to all customers.

Consequently, an increase in fuel costs results in (1) an increase in our cost of operations, (2) a smaller increase in our revenue (from the fuel recovery fee) and (3) a decrease in our operating margin percentage, because the increase in revenue is more than offset by the increase in cost. Conversely, a decrease in fuel costs results in (1) a decrease in our cost of operations, (2) a smaller decrease in our revenue and (3) an increase in our operating margin percentage.

At current consumption levels, a ten-cent change in the price of diesel fuel changes our fuel costs by approximately \$14 million on an annual basis. Offsetting these changes in fuel expense would be changes in our fuel recovery fee charged to our customers. At current participation rates, a ten-cent change in the price of diesel fuel changes our fuel recovery fee by approximately \$9 million.

Our operations also require the use of certain petroleum-based products (such as liners at our landfills) whose costs may vary with the price of oil. An increase in the price of petrochemicals could increase the cost of those products, which would increase our operating and capital costs. We are also susceptible to increases in indirect fuel surcharges from our vendors.

#### **Commodities Price Risk**

We market recycled products such as cardboard and newspaper from our material recycling facilities. As a result, changes in the market prices of these items will impact our results of operations. Revenue from sales of these products during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$266.5 million and \$341.2 million, respectively.

Changing market demand for recyclable materials causes volatility in commodity prices. At current volumes and mix of materials, we believe a ten dollar per ton change in the price of recyclable materials will change annual revenue and operating income by approximately \$27 million and \$18 million, respectively, on an annual basis.

See Note 11, *Financial Instruments*, of the notes to our unaudited consolidated financial statements for further discussion of our fuel and recycling commodity hedges.

#### **Interest Rate Risk**

We are subject to interest rate risk on our variable rate long-term debt. From time to time, to reduce the risk from interest rate fluctuations, we have entered into interest rate swap contracts that have been authorized pursuant to our policies and procedures. We do not use financial instruments for trading purposes and are not a party to any leveraged derivatives.

At September 30, 2012, we had approximately \$975 million of floating rate debt. If interest rates increased or decreased by 100 basis points on our variable rate debt, annualized interest expense and cash payments for interest would increase or decrease by approximately \$10 million. This analysis does not reflect the effect that interest rates would have on other items, such as new borrowings. See Note 7, *Debt*, of the notes to our unaudited consolidated financial statements for further information regarding how we manage interest rate risk.

### **ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.**

#### **Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e), and 15d-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this Form 10-Q. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this Form 10-Q.

**Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

Based on an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, there has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the period covered by this Form 10-Q identified in connection with that evaluation, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

### ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

We are subject to extensive and evolving laws and regulations and have implemented safeguards to respond to regulatory requirements. In the normal course of our business, we become involved in legal proceedings. Some of these actions may result in fines, penalties or judgments against us, which may impact earnings and cash flows for a particular period. Although we cannot predict the ultimate outcome of any legal matter with certainty, except as described below, we do not believe the outcome of our pending legal proceedings will have a material adverse impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

As used herein, the term *legal proceedings* refers to litigation and similar claims against us and our subsidiaries, excluding: (1) ordinary course accidents, general commercial liability and workers compensation claims, which are covered by insurance programs, subject to customary deductibles, and which, together with self-insured employee health care costs, are discussed in Note 5 to our unaudited consolidated financial statements, *Other Liabilities*; (2) environmental remediation liabilities, which are discussed in Note 6 to our unaudited consolidated financial statements, *Landfill and Environmental Costs*; and (3) tax-related matters, which are discussed in Note 8 to our unaudited consolidated financial statements, *Income Taxes*. See also our Form 10-Q's for the prior quarters in this fiscal year, which contain information regarding certain proceedings that were resolved in the prior quarters.

We accrue for legal proceedings when losses become probable and reasonably estimable. We have recorded an aggregate accrual of approximately \$58 million relating to our outstanding legal proceedings as of September 30, 2012, including those described in this Form 10-Q and others that are not specifically described. As of the end of each reporting period, we review each of our legal proceedings and we accrue, as a charge currently in expense, for all probable and reasonably estimable losses. Where we are able to reasonably estimate a range of losses we may incur with respect to such a matter, we accrue for the amount within the range that constitutes our best estimate. If we are able to reasonably estimate a range but no amount within the range appears to be a better estimate than any other, we use the amount that is the low end of the range. If we had used the high ends of the ranges, our aggregate potential liability would have been approximately \$75 million higher than the amount recorded as of September 30, 2012.

#### General Legal Proceedings

##### *Countywide Matter*

In a suit filed on October 8, 2008 in the Tuscarawas County Ohio Court of Common Pleas, approximately 700 individuals and businesses located in the area around the Countywide Recycling and Disposal Facility sued Republic Services, Inc. (Republic), Republic Services of Ohio II, LLC (Republic-Ohio), Waste Management, Inc. (WMI) and Waste Management Ohio, Inc. (WMO) for alleged negligence and nuisance. Republic-Ohio has owned and operated the landfill since February 1, 1999. Plaintiffs allege that due to the acceptance of a specific waste stream and operational issues and conditions, the landfill has generated odors and other unsafe emissions that have impaired the use and value of their property and may have adverse health effects. A second almost identical lawsuit was filed by approximately 82 plaintiffs on October 13, 2009 in the Tuscarawas County Ohio Court of Common Pleas against Republic, Republic-Ohio, WMI and WMO. The court has consolidated the two actions. We have assumed both the defense and the liability of WMI and WMO in the consolidated action. The relief requested on behalf of each plaintiff in the consolidated action is: (1) an award of compensatory damages according to proof in an amount in excess of \$25,000 for each of the three counts of the amended complaint; (2) an award of punitive damages in the amount of two times compensatory damages, pursuant to applicable statute, or in such amount as may be awarded at trial for each of the three counts of the amended complaint; (3) costs for medical screening and monitoring of each plaintiff; (4) interest on the damages according to law; (5) costs and disbursements of the lawsuit; (6) reasonable fees for attorneys and expert witnesses; and (7) any further relief the court deems just, proper and equitable. Plaintiffs filed an amended consolidated complaint on September 9, 2010, which no longer asserts a claim for medical monitoring. Plaintiffs also have abandoned any claims for adverse health effects. As a result of various dismissals of plaintiffs, this case presently consists of approximately 600 plaintiffs. Discovery is ongoing. In February 2011, the court granted our motion to dismiss plaintiffs' qualified statutory public nuisance claims. Republic, WMI and WMO have been dismissed from the litigation. We will continue to vigorously defend against the plaintiffs' allegations in the consolidated action.

##### *Luri Matter*

On August 17, 2007, a former employee, Ronald Luri, sued Republic Services, Inc., Republic Services of Ohio Hauling LLC, Republic Services of Ohio I LLC, Jim Bowen and Ron Krall in the Cuyahoga County Common Pleas Court in Ohio. Plaintiff alleges that he was unlawfully fired in retaliation for refusing to discharge or demote three employees who were all over 50 years old. On July 3, 2008, a jury verdict was awarded against us in the amount of \$46.6 million, including \$43.1 million in

punitive damages. On September 24, 2008, the court awarded pre-judgment interest of \$0.3 million and attorney fees and litigation costs of \$1.1 million. Post-judgment interest accrued or will accrue at a rate of 8% for 2008, 5% for 2009, 4% for 2010 and 2011, and 3% for 2012. We appealed to the Court of Appeals, and on May 19, 2011 the court reduced the punitive damages award to \$7.0 million. Plaintiff appealed to the Ohio Supreme Court, challenging the reduction of punitive damages. We cross-appealed, seeking a new trial on the ground that the proceedings in the trial court violated Ohio's punitive damages statute, which requires that the compensatory and punitive damages phases of trial be bifurcated in certain types of cases. On February 15, 2012, in a case called *Havel v. Villa St. Joseph*, the Ohio Supreme Court upheld the constitutionality of the bifurcation requirement. On July 3, 2012, the Ohio Supreme Court reversed the judgment against us and remanded the case for further proceedings consistent with its decision in *Havel*. Plaintiff filed a motion for reconsideration/clarification, which the Ohio Supreme Court denied. Plaintiff then filed a motion for additional briefing before the Court of Appeals. The Court of Appeals denied the request and remanded the case to the Cuyahoga County Common Pleas Court for proceedings consistent with *Havel*. We will continue to defend this matter vigorously.

#### ***Compensation Matter***

In May 2011, one of our shareholders sued Republic Services, Inc., its directors, and several executive officers in the Court of Chancery in Delaware challenging certain compensation decisions that were made by the Board of Directors or its Compensation Committee. The lawsuit is purportedly brought on behalf of our company against all of our directors and several executive officers. In particular, the plaintiff's amended complaint: (1) challenges certain payments totaling \$3.05 million made to our former Chief Executive Officer, James O'Connor, under his June 25, 2010 Retirement Agreement; (2) contends that the company committed "waste" by awarding restricted stock units that vest over time (some of which would not be tax deductible) rather than awarding performance-based units (which typically would be tax deductible); (3) alleges that the Board overpaid itself by awarding directors too many restricted stock units in 2009 and 2010; and (4) alleges that the Company may not pay any bonuses under its Synergy Incentive Plan because net earnings purportedly have not increased since the merger with Allied. The amended complaint seeks injunctive relief and seeks an equitable accounting for unspecified losses the company purportedly sustained. We believe the lawsuit is without merit and is not material. The defendants filed motions to dismiss the amended complaint. On June 29, 2012, the Court of Chancery denied defendants' motion with respect to the claim related to the granting of restricted stock units to directors and granted the motion with respect to all other claims. The defendants will continue to defend the remaining claim vigorously.

#### ***Congress Development Landfill Matter***

Congress Development Co. (CDC) is a general partnership that owns and operates the Congress Landfill. The general partners in CDC are our subsidiary, Allied Waste Transportation, Inc. (Allied Transportation), and an unaffiliated entity, John Sexton Sand & Gravel Corporation (Sexton). Sexton was the operator of the landfill through early 2007, when Allied Transportation took over as the operator. The general partners likely will be jointly and severally liable for the costs associated with the following matter relating to the Congress Landfill.

In a suit originally filed on December 23, 2009 in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois and subsequently amended to add additional plaintiffs, approximately 2,800 plaintiffs sued our subsidiaries Allied Transportation and Allied Waste Industries, Inc. (Allied), CDC and Sexton. The court entered an order dismissing Allied without prejudice on October 26, 2010. The plaintiffs allege bodily injury, property damage and inability to have normal use and enjoyment of property arising from, among other things, odors and other damages arising from landfill gas leaking, and they base their claims on negligence, trespass, and nuisance. On January 6, 2012, the court took plaintiffs' motion for leave to amend their complaint to seek punitive damages under advisement, to be considered on a plaintiff-by-plaintiff basis. The court also granted plaintiffs leave to serve discovery on the punitive damages issue. Following the court's order in our favor striking the plaintiffs' allegations requesting actual damages in excess of \$50 million and punitive damages in excess of \$50 million, the amount of damages being sought is unspecified. Discovery is ongoing. We intend to vigorously defend against the plaintiffs' allegations in this action.

#### **Legal Proceedings over Certain Environmental Matters Involving Governmental Authorities with Possible Sanctions of \$100,000 or More**

Item 103 of the SEC's Regulation S-K requires disclosure of certain environmental matters when a governmental authority is a party to the proceedings and the proceedings involve potential monetary sanctions unless we reasonably believe that the monetary sanctions will not equal or exceed \$100,000. We are disclosing the following matter in accordance with that requirement:

#### ***Lorain County Landfill Matter***

Since 2006, the Lorain County Landfill located in Lorain, Ohio has agreed to two consensual Director's Final Findings and

Orders (DFFOs) issued by the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency related to operational issues, including odor nuisances. The Ohio Attorney General's office has advised us that it intends to initiate legal proceedings against our subsidiary, Lorain County Landfill, LLC, and against Lorain County LFG Power Station Energy Developments, Inc. (Developments), which has operated and maintained the landfill's gas collection system, for violations that are alleged to continue to occur in violation of the DFFOs and are related to alleged continuing nuisance odors. We are engaging in discussions with representatives of the Attorney General's office to attempt to amicably resolve the State's issues and to negotiate a consent order that would be filed with the common pleas court. The Attorney General's office has communicated a settlement demand to Lorain County Landfill, LLC. We understand that the Attorney General's office also is seeking a penalty against Developments. The Attorney General's office also is seeking injunctive relief related to ongoing landfill operations, including the landfill gas collection and control system. Settlement discussions with the Attorney General's office are ongoing.

#### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.

There were no material changes during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 in the risk factors previously disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

#### ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS.

##### Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The following table provides information relating to our purchases of shares of our common stock during the three months ended September 30, 2012:

	Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased (a)	Average Price Paid per Share (a)	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Program (b)	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Program (c)
July 2012	109,083	\$ 25.92	109,083	\$ 474,397,131
August 2012	890,145	\$ 28.62	890,145	\$ 448,918,554
September 2012	261,500	\$ 28.30	261,500	\$ 441,518,290
	<u>1,260,728</u>		<u>1,260,728</u>	

- (a) In August 2011, our board of directors approved a share repurchase program pursuant to which we may repurchase up to \$750.0 million of our outstanding shares of common stock through December 31, 2013 (the 2011 Program). The 2011 Program was publicly announced on August 15, 2011. Previously, our board of directors approved a share repurchase program pursuant to which we were authorized to repurchase up to \$400.0 million of our outstanding shares of common stock through December 31, 2011 (the 2010 Program). The 2010 Program was publicly announced on November 4, 2010. The authorization under the 2011 Program was in addition to the authorization then remaining under the 2010 Program. During the third quarter of 2011, we completed our share purchases under the 2010 Program. Share repurchases under the programs may be made through open market purchases or privately negotiated transactions in accordance with applicable federal securities laws. While the board of directors has approved the programs, the timing of any purchases, the prices and the number of shares of common stock to be purchased will be determined by our management, at its discretion, and will depend upon market conditions and other factors. The 2011 Program may be extended, suspended or discontinued at any time.
- (b) The total number of shares purchased as part of the publicly announced program were all purchased pursuant to the 2011 Program.
- (c) Shares that may be purchased under the program exclude shares of common stock that may be surrendered to satisfy statutory minimum tax withholding obligations in connection with the vesting of restricted stock issued to employees.

#### ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES.

None.

#### ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES.

None.

#### ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION.

None.



**ITEM 6. EXHIBITS.**

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit
4.1*	Amendment No. 1, dated as of October 29, 2012, to the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of May 8, 2012 by and among Republic Services, Inc., as Borrower, Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, Swing Line Lender and L/C Issuer, and the other lenders party thereto.
4.2*	Amendment No. 2, dated as of October 29, 2012, to the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of April 20, 2011 by and among Republic Services, Inc., as Borrower, Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, and each of the lenders party thereto.
10.1+	Offer Letter, dated August 17, 2012, by and between Robert Boucher and Republic Services, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 20, 2012).
31.1*	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer
31.2*	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer
32.1*	Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer
32.2*	Section 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer
101.INS**	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.LAB**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase Document
101.PRE**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document
101.DEF**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document

\* Filed herewith

\*\* This exhibit is being furnished rather than filed, and shall not be deemed incorporated by reference into any filing, in accordance with Item 601 of Regulation S-K.

+ Indicates a management or compensatory plan or arrangement.



**AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO AMENDED AND RESTATED CREDIT AGREEMENT**

**THIS AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO AMENDED AND RESTATED CREDIT AGREEMENT** dated as of October 29, 2012 (this "Amendment") is made among **REPUBLIC SERVICES, INC.**, a Delaware corporation (the "Borrower"), **BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.** ("Bank of America"), in its capacity as administrative agent for the Lenders (in such capacity, the "Administrative Agent"), and each of the Lenders. Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein have the respective meanings ascribed to them in the Credit Agreement described below).

**RECITALS:**

A. The Borrower, Bank of America, as Administrative Agent, Swing Line Lender and an L/C Issuer, and the Lenders have entered into an Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of May 8, 2012 (as in effect on the date hereof, the "Credit Agreement"), pursuant to which the Lenders have made available to the Borrower a revolving credit facility with a swing line sublimit and a letter of credit sublimit.

B. The Borrower has advised the Administrative Agent and the Lenders that it desires to amend certain provisions of the Credit Agreement, and the Administrative Agent and the Lenders are willing to effect such amendment on the terms and conditions contained in this Amendment.

In consideration of the premises and further valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the parties hereto agree as follows:

1. Amendments to the Credit Agreement. Subject to the terms and conditions set forth herein, the Credit Agreement is hereby amended as follows:

- (a) The definition of "Consolidated EBITDA" in Section 1.01 is deleted in its entirety and the following is inserted in lieu thereof:

"Consolidated EBITDA" means, with respect to the Borrower and its Subsidiaries for any Computation Period, the sum of, without duplication, (a) Consolidated Net Income during such Computation Period, plus (b) the following, in each case to the extent deducted in computing Consolidated Net Income during such Computation Period: (i) Consolidated Interest Expense; (ii) taxes on income; (iii) depreciation, amortization and depletion; (iv) accretion; (v) loss on extinguishment of Indebtedness, and (vi) non-cash charges incurred with respect to Borrower's possible withdrawal liabilities disclosed on Schedule 5.07 and the Central States Withdrawal Liabilities (as such term is defined in that certain Limited Waiver among Borrower, Administrative Agent, and the Lenders party thereto dated as of October 15, 2012); provided, that, to the extent that any Acquisition has been consummated during a Computation Period, Consolidated EBITDA shall be computed on a pro forma basis in accordance with Article 11 of Regulation S-X of the SEC or in a manner otherwise approved by the Administrative Agent for the purposes of determining the Total Debt to EBITDA Ratio.

(b) Section 8.01(h) is deleted in its entirety and the following is inserted in lieu thereof:

(a) ERISA. (i) Except as previously disclosed on Schedule 5.07, an ERISA Event shall occur with respect to a Pension Plan or Multiemployer Plan which has resulted or could reasonably be expected to result in liability of the Borrower under Title IV of ERISA to the Pension Plan, Multiemployer Plan or the PBGC in an aggregate amount in excess of \$25,000,000; (ii) a contribution failure shall occur with respect to a Pension Plan sufficient to give rise to a Lien under Section 303(k) of ERISA securing obligations in excess of \$10,000,000; or (iii) the Borrower or any ERISA Affiliate shall fail to pay when due, after the expiration of any applicable grace period (or any period during which (x) the Borrower is permitted to contest its obligation to make such payment without incurring any liability (other than interest) or penalty and (y) the Borrower is contesting such obligation in good faith and by appropriate proceedings), any installment payment with respect to its withdrawal liability under Section 4201 of ERISA or any contribution obligation under Section 4243 of ERISA, in each case under a Multiemployer Plan in an aggregate amount in excess of \$25,000,000.

(a) Schedule 5.07 attached to this Amendment is inserted as a new Schedule 5.07 to the Credit Agreement.

2. Conditions Precedent to Amendments. The effectiveness of this Amendment and the amendments to the Credit Agreement set forth in Section 1 above is subject to the accuracy of the representations and warranties set forth in Section 3 below on the date hereof and the satisfaction of the following conditions precedent:

- (a) the Administrative Agent shall have received counterparts of this Amendment, duly executed by the Borrower, the Administrative Agent and each of the Required Lenders;
- (b) the Administrative Agent shall have received, for the account of each of the Lenders that executes this Amendment on or before the effective date hereof, an amendment fee equal to 0.01% of the Aggregate Commitments as of the date hereof, which shall be allocable on a pro-rata basis to the Lenders in accordance with each such Lender's Applicable Percentage as of the date hereof;
- (c) the Administrative Agent shall have received evidence that contemporaneously herewith a corresponding amendment with respect to the 2011 Credit Agreement shall become effective; and
- (d) unless waived by the Administrative Agent, all fees and expenses of the Administrative Agent and the Lenders (including the reasonable fees and expenses of counsel to the Administrative Agent to the extent invoiced prior to the date hereof) in connection with this Amendment shall have been paid in full (without prejudice to final settling of accounts for such fees and expenses).

3. Representations and Warranties. In order to induce the Administrative Agent and the Lenders to enter into this Amendment, the Borrower represents and warrants to the Administrative Agent and the Lenders as follows:

- (a) After giving effect to this Amendment, the representations and warranties of the Borrower contained in Article V of the Credit Agreement and in the other Loan Documents are true and correct in all material respects (except, if a qualifier relating to materiality, Material Adverse Effect or a similar concept applies, such representation or warranty is true and correct in all respects) on and as of the date hereof, except to the extent that such representations and warranties specifically refer to an earlier date, in which case they are true and correct in all material respects (except, if a qualifier relating to materiality, Material Adverse Effect or a similar concept applies, such representation or warranty is true and correct in all respects) as of such earlier date and except that the representations and warranties in Section 5.11(a) shall be deemed to refer to the most recent statements furnished pursuant to Section 6.01.
- (b) This Amendment has been duly authorized, executed and delivered by, and constitutes a legal, valid and binding obligation of, the Borrower, except as may be limited by general principles of equity or by the effect of any applicable bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, moratorium or similar law affecting creditors' rights generally.

4. Entire Agreement. This Amendment, together with the Loan Documents (collectively, the “Relevant Documents”), sets forth the entire understanding and agreement of the parties hereto in relation to the subject matter hereof and supersedes any prior negotiations and agreements among the parties relating to such subject matter. No promise, condition, representation or warranty, express or implied, not set forth in the Relevant Documents shall bind any party hereto, and no such party has relied on any such promise, condition, representation or warranty. Each of the parties hereto acknowledges that, except as otherwise expressly stated in the Relevant Documents, no representations, warranties or commitments, express or implied, have been made by any party to the other in relation to the subject matter hereof or thereof. None of the terms or conditions of this Amendment may be changed, modified, waived or canceled orally or otherwise, except in writing and in accordance with Section 10.01 of the Credit Agreement.
5. Full Force and Effect of Amendment. Except as hereby specifically amended, modified or supplemented, the Credit Agreement and all other Loan Documents are hereby confirmed and ratified in all respects and shall be and remain in full force and effect according to their respective terms.
6. Counterparts. This Amendment may be executed in any number of counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original as against any party whose signature appears thereon, and all of which shall together constitute one and the same instrument. Delivery of an executed counterpart of a signature page of this Amendment by telecopy, facsimile or other electronic transmission (including .PDF) shall be effective as delivery of a manually executed counterpart of this Amendment.
7. Governing Law. This Amendment shall in all respects be governed by, and construed in accordance with, the laws of the State of New York.
8. Enforceability. Should any one or more of the provisions of this Amendment be determined to be illegal or unenforceable as to one or more of the parties hereto, all other provisions nevertheless shall remain effective and binding on the parties hereto.
9. References. This Amendment shall constitute a Loan Document and all references in any of the other Loan Documents to the “Credit Agreement” shall mean the Credit Agreement, as amended hereby.
10. Successors and Assigns. This Amendment shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Borrower, the Administrative Agent, the Lenders and their respective successors and assignees to the extent such assignees are permitted assignees as provided in Section 10.06 of the Credit Agreement.
11. Amendment Fees. The amendment fees required to be paid pursuant to Section 2(b) above shall be fully earned upon the effectiveness of this Amendment, shall be nonrefundable for any reason whatsoever and shall be in addition to any other fees, costs and expenses payable pursuant to this Amendment, the Credit Agreement or any other Loan Document.

**[Signature pages follow.]**

**IN WITNESS WHEREOF**, the parties hereto have caused this instrument to be made, executed and delivered by their duly authorized officers as of the day and year first above written.

**BORROWER:**

**REPUBLIC SERVICES, INC.**

By: /s/ Edward A. Lang, III

Name: Edward A. Lang, III

Title: Senior Vice President, Treasurer



**ADMINISTRATIVE AGENT:**

**BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.,** as  
Administrative Agent

By: /s/ Maria F. Maia

Name: Maria F. Maia

Title: Managing Director

**LENDERS:**

**BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.**, as a Lender, L/C Issuer and Swing Line Lender

By: /s/ Maria F. Maia

Name: Maria F. Maia

Title: Managing Director

**JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.**, as a Lender  
and L/C Issuer

By: Gregory T. Martin  
Name: Gregory T. Martin  
Title: Vice President

**WELLS FARGO BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, as a Lender and L/C Issuer**

By: /s/ Robert Krasnow

Name: Robert Krasnow

Title: Sr. Vice President

**BARCLAYS BANK PLC**, as a Lender

By: /s/ Lisa Minigh

Name: Lisa Minigh

Title: Assistant Vice President

**SUNTRUST BANK**, as a Lender

By: /s/ Chris Hursey

Name: Chris Hursey

Title: Vice President

**U.S. BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION**, as a Lender

By: /s/ C. Martin McDonald

Name: C. Martin McDonald

Title: Assistant Vice President

**THE BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA**, as a Lender

By: /s/ Eugene Dempsey

Name: Eugene Dempsey

Title: Director



**COMPASS BANK**, as a Lender

By: /s/ Susana Campuzano

Name: Susana Campuzano

Title: Senior Vice President

**BNP PARIBAS**, as a Lender and L/C Issuer

By: /s/ Michael Pearce

Name: Michael Pearce

Title: Director

By: /s/ Todd Grossnickle

Name: Todd Grossnickle

Title: Vice President

**DEUTSCHE BANK AG, NEW YORK BRANCH**, as a Lender

By: /s/ Ming K. Chu

Name: Ming K. Chu

Title: Vice President

By: /s/ Virginia Cosenza

Name: Virginia Cosenza

Title: Vice President

**REGIONS BANK**, as a Lender

By: /s/ Larry C. Stephens

Name: Larry C. Stephens

Title: SVP

**INTESA SANPAOLO S.p.A.**, as a Lender

By: /s/ Sergio Maggioni

Name: Sergio Maggioni

Title: First Vice president & Head of Business

By: /s/ Glen Binder

Name: Glen Binder

Title: Vice President

**MIZUHO CORPORATE BANK, LTD.**, as a Lender

By: /s/ Leon Mo

Name: Leon Mo

Title: Authorized Signatory

**ROYAL BANK OF CANADA**, as a Lender

By: /s/ Scott Umbs

Name: Scott Umbs

Title: Authorized Signatory

**THE ROYAL BANK OF SCOTLAND PLC,**  
as a Lender

By: /s/ Jeannine Pascal  
Name: Jeannine Pascal  
Title: Vice President



**UBS AG, STAMFORD BRANCH, as a Lender**

By: /s/ Irja R. Otsa

Name: Irja R. Otsa

Title: Associate Director

By: /s/ Kenneth Chin

Name: Kenneth Chin

Title: Director

**BRANCH BANKING AND TRUST COMPANY,**  
as a Lender

By: /s/ Elizabeth Willis  
Name: Elizabeth Willis  
Title: Assistant Vice President

**SUMITOMO MITSUI BANKING  
CORPORATION, as a Lender**

By: /s/ Shuji Yabe

Name: Shuji Yabe

Title: Managing Director

**COBANK, ACB, as a Lender**

By: /s/ Bryan Ervin

Name: Bryan Ervin

Title: Vice President

**COMERICA BANK, as a Lender**

By: /s/ Fatima Arshad

Name: Fatima Arshad

Title: Vice President

**PNC BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION**, as a Lender and L/C Issuer

By: /s/ Jennifer Lynn Shafer

Name: Jennifer Lynn Shafer

Title: Vice President

**THE BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON**, as a Lender

By: /s/ David B. Wirl

Name: David B. Wirl

Title: Managing Director

**UNION BANK, N.A.**, as a Lender

By: /s/ Jeffrey Duncan

Name: Jeffrey Duncan

Title: Vice President



**MACQUARIE BANK LIMITED**, as a Lender

By: /s/ Linda Evans

Name: Linda Evans

Title: Division Director

By: /s/ Fiona Smith

Name: Fiona Smith

Title: Division Director

**ERISA MATTERS**

Borrower may incur withdrawal liabilities not to exceed Two Hundred Fifty Million Dollars (\$250,000,000) in the aggregate during the term of the Credit Agreement for its possible withdrawal from the following Multiemployer Plans:

Central States, Southeast & Southwest Areas Pension Plan  
New England Teamsters & Trucking Industry Pension Fund  
Local 731 I.B. of T. Private Scavengers and Garage Attendants Pension Fund  
Western Metal Pension Trust Fund  
IBT Local 945 Pension Fund  
Operating Engineers Local 324 Pension Fund  
Suburban Teamsters of Northern Illinois Pension Fund  
Automotive Industries Pension Fund  
NY State of Teamsters Conference Pension & Retirement Fund  
Western PA Teamsters & Employers Pension Fund  
Operating Engineers Pension Trust Fund  
Teamsters Union of Puerto Rico, Local 901  
Teamsters Pension Trust Fund (Philadelphia)  
Laborers' International Union of North America National (Industrial) Pension Plan  
Automobile Mechanic's Local 701 Pension Fund  
Western States Office & Prof Employees' Pension Fund  
Automotive Machinists Pension Trust Fund  
Distributors Association Warehouseman's Pension Trust  
Pension Trust Fund Private Sanitation Union Local 813  
Operating Engineers Local 66  
Local 705 I.B. of T. Pension Fund

For the avoidance of doubt, this Schedule 5.07 shall constitute notice under Section 6.03(c) of the Borrower's withdrawal from such Multiemployer Plan and Borrower's incurrence of such liabilities.

**AMENDMENT NO. 2 TO AMENDED AND RESTATED CREDIT AGREEMENT**

**THIS AMENDMENT NO. 2 TO AMENDED AND RESTATED CREDIT AGREEMENT** dated as of October 29, 2012 (this "Amendment") is made among **REPUBLIC SERVICES, INC.**, a Delaware corporation (the "Borrower"), **BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.** ("Bank of America"), in its capacity as administrative agent for the Lenders (in such capacity, the "Administrative Agent"), and each of the Lenders. Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein have the respective meanings ascribed to them in the Credit Agreement described below).

**RECITALS:**

A. The Borrower, Bank of America, as Administrative Agent, Swing Line Lender and an L/C Issuer, and the Lenders have entered into an Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of April 20, 2011, as amended by Amendment No. 1 to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of May 8, 2012 (as in effect on the date hereof, the "Credit Agreement"), pursuant to which the Lenders have made available to the Borrower a revolving credit facility with a swing line sublimit and a letter of credit sublimit.

B. The Borrower has advised the Administrative Agent and the Lenders that it desires to amend certain provisions of the Credit Agreement, and the Administrative Agent and the Lenders are willing to effect such amendment on the terms and conditions contained in this Amendment.

In consideration of the premises and further valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the parties hereto agree as follows:

1. Amendments to the Credit Agreement. Subject to the terms and conditions set forth herein, the Credit Agreement is hereby amended as follows:

(a) The definition of "Consolidated EBITDA" in Section 1.01 is deleted in its entirety and the following is inserted in lieu thereof:

"Consolidated EBITDA" means, with respect to the Borrower and its Subsidiaries for any Computation Period, the sum of, without duplication, (a) Consolidated Net Income during such Computation Period, plus (b) the following, in each case to the extent deducted in computing Consolidated Net Income during such Computation Period: (i) Consolidated Interest Expense; (ii) taxes on income; (iii) depreciation, amortization and depletion; (iv) accretion; (v) loss on extinguishment of Indebtedness, and (vi) non-cash charges incurred with respect to Borrower's possible withdrawal liabilities disclosed on Schedule 5.07 and the Central States Withdrawal Liabilities (as such term is defined in that certain Limited Waiver among Borrower, Administrative Agent, and the Lenders party thereto dated as of October 15, 2012); provided, that, to the extent that any Acquisition has been consummated during a Computation Period, Consolidated EBITDA shall be computed on a pro forma basis in accordance with Article 11 of Regulation S-X of the SEC or in a manner otherwise approved by the Administrative Agent for the purposes of determining the Total Debt to EBITDA Ratio.

(b) Section 8.01(h) is deleted in its entirety and the following is inserted in lieu thereof:

(a) ERISA. (i) Except as previously disclosed on Schedule 5.07, an ERISA Event shall occur with respect to a Pension Plan or Multiemployer Plan which has resulted or could reasonably be expected to result in liability of the Borrower under Title IV of ERISA to the Pension Plan, Multiemployer Plan or the PBGC in an aggregate amount in excess of \$25,000,000; (ii) a contribution failure shall occur with respect to a Pension Plan sufficient to give rise to a Lien under Section 303(k) of ERISA securing obligations in excess of \$10,000,000; or (iii) the Borrower or any ERISA Affiliate shall fail to pay when due, after the expiration of any applicable grace period (or any period during which (x) the Borrower is permitted to contest its obligation to make such payment without incurring any liability (other than interest) or penalty and (y) the Borrower is contesting such obligation in good faith and by appropriate proceedings), any installment payment with respect to its withdrawal liability under Section 4201 of ERISA or any contribution obligation under Section 4243 of ERISA, in each case under a Multiemployer Plan in an aggregate amount in excess of \$25,000,000.

(a) Schedule 5.07 attached to this Amendment is inserted as a new Schedule 5.07 to the Credit Agreement.

2. Conditions Precedent to Amendments. The effectiveness of this Amendment and the amendments to the Credit Agreement set forth in Section 1 above is subject to the accuracy of the representations and warranties set forth in Section 3 below on the date hereof and the satisfaction of the following conditions precedent:

- (a) the Administrative Agent shall have received counterparts of this Amendment, duly executed by the Borrower, the Administrative Agent and each of the Required Lenders;
- (b) the Administrative Agent shall have received, for the account of each of the Lenders that executes this Amendment on or before the effective date hereof, an amendment fee equal to 0.01% of the Aggregate Commitments as of the date hereof, which shall be allocable on a pro-rata basis to the Lenders in accordance with each such Lender's Applicable Percentage as of the date hereof;
- (c) the Administrative Agent shall have received evidence that contemporaneously herewith a corresponding amendment with respect to the 2012 Credit Agreement shall become effective; and
- (d) unless waived by the Administrative Agent, all fees and expenses of the Administrative Agent and the Lenders (including the reasonable fees and expenses of counsel to the Administrative Agent to the extent invoiced prior to the date hereof) in connection with this Amendment shall have been paid in full (without prejudice to final settling of accounts for such fees and expenses).

3. Representations and Warranties. In order to induce the Administrative Agent and the Lenders to enter into this Amendment, the Borrower represents and warrants to the Administrative Agent and the Lenders as follows:

- (a) After giving effect to this Amendment, the representations and warranties of the Borrower contained in Article V of the Credit Agreement and in the other Loan Documents are true and correct in all material respects (except, if a qualifier relating to materiality, Material Adverse Effect or a similar concept applies, such representation or warranty is true and correct in all respects) on and as of the date hereof, except to the extent that such representations and warranties specifically refer to an earlier date, in which case they are true and correct in all material respects (except, if a qualifier relating to materiality, Material Adverse Effect or a similar concept applies, such representation or warranty is true and correct in all respects) as of such earlier date and except that the representations and warranties in Section 5.11(a) shall be deemed to refer to the most recent statements furnished pursuant to Section 6.01.
- (b) This Amendment has been duly authorized, executed and delivered by, and constitutes a legal, valid and binding obligation of, the Borrower, except as may be limited by general principles of equity or by the effect of any applicable bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, moratorium or similar law affecting creditors' rights generally.

4. Entire Agreement. This Amendment, together with the Loan Documents (collectively, the “Relevant Documents”), sets forth the entire understanding and agreement of the parties hereto in relation to the subject matter hereof and supersedes any prior negotiations and agreements among the parties relating to such subject matter. No promise, condition, representation or warranty, express or implied, not set forth in the Relevant Documents shall bind any party hereto, and no such party has relied on any such promise, condition, representation or warranty. Each of the parties hereto acknowledges that, except as otherwise expressly stated in the Relevant Documents, no representations, warranties or commitments, express or implied, have been made by any party to the other in relation to the subject matter hereof or thereof. None of the terms or conditions of this Amendment may be changed, modified, waived or canceled orally or otherwise, except in writing and in accordance with Section 10.01 of the Credit Agreement.
5. Full Force and Effect of Amendment. Except as hereby specifically amended, modified or supplemented, the Credit Agreement and all other Loan Documents are hereby confirmed and ratified in all respects and shall be and remain in full force and effect according to their respective terms.
6. Counterparts. This Amendment may be executed in any number of counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original as against any party whose signature appears thereon, and all of which shall together constitute one and the same instrument. Delivery of an executed counterpart of a signature page of this Amendment by telecopy, facsimile or other electronic transmission (including .PDF) shall be effective as delivery of a manually executed counterpart of this Amendment.
7. Governing Law. This Amendment shall in all respects be governed by, and construed in accordance with, the laws of the State of New York.
8. Enforceability. Should any one or more of the provisions of this Amendment be determined to be illegal or unenforceable as to one or more of the parties hereto, all other provisions nevertheless shall remain effective and binding on the parties hereto.
9. References. This Amendment shall constitute a Loan Document and all references in any of the other Loan Documents to the “Credit Agreement” shall mean the Credit Agreement, as amended hereby.
10. Successors and Assigns. This Amendment shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Borrower, the Administrative Agent, the Lenders and their respective successors and assignees to the extent such assignees are permitted assignees as provided in Section 10.06 of the Credit Agreement.
11. Amendment Fees. The amendment fees required to be paid pursuant to Section 2(b) above shall be fully earned upon the effectiveness of this Amendment, shall be nonrefundable for any reason whatsoever and shall be in addition to any other fees, costs and expenses payable pursuant to this Amendment, the Credit Agreement or any other Loan Document.

**[Signature pages follow.]**

**IN WITNESS WHEREOF**, the parties hereto have caused this instrument to be made, executed and delivered by their duly authorized officers as of the day and year first above written.

**BORROWER:**

**REPUBLIC SERVICES, INC.**

By: /s/ Edward A. Lang, III

Name: Edward A. Lang, III

Title: Senior Vice President, Treasurer



**ADMINISTRATIVE AGENT:**

**BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.,** as  
Administrative Agent

By: /s/ Maria F. Maia

Name: Maria F. Maia

Title: Managing Director

**LENDERS:**

**BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.**, as a Lender, L/C Issuer and Swing Line Lender

By: /s/ Maria F. Maia

Name: Maria F. Maia

Title: Managing Director

**JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.**, as a Lender  
and L/C Issuer

By: /s/ Gregory T. Martin  
Name: Gregory T. Martin  
Title: Vice President

**WELLS FARGO BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, as a Lender and L/C Issuer**

By: /s/ Robert Krasnow

Name: Robert Krasnow

Title: Sr. Vice President

**BARCLAYS BANK PLC**, as a Lender

By: /s/ Lisa Minigh

Name: Lisa Minigh

Title: Assistant Vice President

**SUNTRUST BANK**, as a Lender

By: /s/ Chris Hursey

Name: Chris Hursey

Title: Vice President

**U.S. BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, as a Lender**

By: /s/ C. Martin McDonald

Name: C. Martin McDonald

Title: Assistant Vice President

**THE BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA**, as a Lender

By: /s/ Eugene Dempsey

Name: Eugene Dempsey

Title: Director



**COMPASS BANK**, as a Lender

By: /s/ Susana Campuzano

Name: Susana Campuzano

Title: Senior Vice President

**BNP PARIBAS, as a Lender**

By: /s/ Michael Pearce

Name: Michael Pearce

Title: Director

By: /s/ Todd Grossnickle

Name: Todd Grossnickle

Title: Vice President

**CREDIT SUISSE AG, CAYMAN ISLANDS BRANCH, as a Lender**

By: /s/ Ari Bruger

Name: Ari Bruger

Title: Vice President

By: /s/ Alex Verdone

Name: Alex Verdone

Title: Associate

**DEUTSCHE BANK AG, NEW YORK BRANCH**, as a Lender

By: /s/ Ming K. Chu

Name: Ming K. Chu

Title: Vice President

By: /s/ Virginia Cosenza

Name: Virginia Cosenza

Title: Vice President

**GOLDMAN SACHS BANK USA, as a Lender**

By: /s/ Michelle Latzoni

Name: Michelle Latzoni

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

**INTESA SANPAOLO S.p.A.**, as a Lender

By: /s/ Sergio Maggioni

Name: Sergio Maggioni

Title: First Vice President & Head of Business

By: /s/ Glen Binder

Name: Glen Binder

Title: Vice President

**MIZUHO CORPORATE BANK (USA), as a Lender**

By: /s/ Leon Mo

Name: Leon Mo

Title: Senior Vice President

**ROYAL BANK OF CANADA**, as a Lender

By: /s/ Scott Umbs

Name: Scott Umbs

Title: Authorized Signatory



**THE ROYAL BANK OF SCOTLAND PLC,**  
as a Lender

By: /s/ Jeannine Pascal  
Name: Jeannine Pascal  
Title: Vice President

**UBS AG, STAMFORD BRANCH, as a Lender**

By: /s/ Irja R. Otsa

Name: Irja R. Otsa

Title: Associate Director

By: /s/ Kenneth Chin

Name: Kenneth Chin

Title: Director

**BRANCH BANKING AND TRUST COMPANY,**  
as a Lender

By: /s/ Elizabeth Willis

Name: Elizabeth Willis

Title: Assistant Vice President

**SUMITOMO MITSUI BANKING  
CORPORATION, as a Lender**

By: /s/ Shuji Yabe

Name: Shuji Yabe

Title: Managing Director

**COBANK, ACB, as a Lender**

By: /s/ Bryan Ervin

Name: Bryan Ervin

Title: Vice President

**COMERICA BANK**, as a Lender

By: /s/ Fatima Arshad

Name: Fatima Arshad

Title: Vice President

**PNC BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION**, as a Lender

By: /s/ Jennifer Lynn Shafer

Name: Jennifer Lynn Shafer

Title: Vice President

**THE BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON**, as a Lender

By: /s/ David B. Wirl

Name: David B. Wirl

Title: Managing Director



**UNION BANK, N.A.**, as a Lender

By: /s/ Jeffrey Duncan

Name: Jeffrey Duncan

Title: Vice President

**MACQUARIE BANK LIMITED**, as a Lender

By: /s/ Linda Evans

Name: Linda Evans

Title: Division Director

By: /s/ Fiona Smith

Name: Fiona Smith

Title: Division Director

**ERISA MATTERS**

Borrower may incur withdrawal liabilities not to exceed Two Hundred Fifty Million Dollars (\$250,000,000) in the aggregate during the term of the Credit Agreement for its possible withdrawal from the following Multiemployer Plans:

Central States, Southeast & Southwest Areas Pension Plan  
New England Teamsters & Trucking Industry Pension Fund  
Local 731 I.B. of T. Private Scavengers and Garage Attendants Pension Fund  
Western Metal Pension Trust Fund  
IBT Local 945 Pension Fund  
Operating Engineers Local 324 Pension Fund  
Suburban Teamsters of Northern Illinois Pension Fund  
Automotive Industries Pension Fund  
NY State of Teamsters Conference Pension & Retirement Fund  
Western PA Teamsters & Employers Pension Fund  
Operating Engineers Pension Trust Fund  
Teamsters Union of Puerto Rico, Local 901  
Teamsters Pension Trust Fund (Philadelphia)  
Laborers' International Union of North America National (Industrial) Pension Plan  
Automobile Mechanic's Local 701 Pension Fund  
Western States Office & Prof Employees' Pension Fund  
Automotive Machinists Pension Trust Fund  
Distributors Association Warehouseman's Pension Trust  
Pension Trust Fund Private Sanitation Union Local 813  
Operating Engineers Local 66  
Local 705 I.B. of T. Pension Fund

For the avoidance of doubt, this Schedule 5.07 shall constitute notice under Section 6.03(c) of the Borrower's withdrawal from such Multiemployer Plan and Borrower's incurrence of such liabilities.

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO RULES 13a-14(a) AND 15d-14(a),  
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Donald W. Slager, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Republic Services, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Donald W. Slager

---

Donald W. Slager  
President and Chief Executive Officer  
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: November 1, 2012

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO RULES 13a-14(a) AND 15d-14(a),  
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Tod C. Holmes, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Republic Services, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Tod C. Holmes

---

Tod C. Holmes  
Executive Vice President and  
Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial Officer)

Date: November 1, 2012

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,  
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Republic Services, Inc. (the Company) for the period ended September 30, 2012 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the Report), I, Donald W. Slager, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, hereby certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to the best of my knowledge:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ Donald W. Slager

---

Donald W. Slager  
President and Chief Executive Officer  
(Principle Executive Officer)

Date: November 1, 2012

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,  
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Republic Services, Inc. (the Company) for the period ended September 30, 2012 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the Report), I, Tod C. Holmes, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, hereby certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to the best of my knowledge:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ Tod C. Holmes

---

Tod C. Holmes  
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer  
(Principle Financial Officer)

Date: November 1, 2012